

Bản check đạo văn - Thanh Võ.pdf

By Dương Thanh Võ quuj

Toán tử hợp có trọng từ không gian kiểu Bloch vào không gian tăng trưởng trên hình cầu đơn vị của không gian Hilbert

TÓM TẮT

Cho ν, μ là các trọng chuẩn tắc trên hình cầu đơn vị B_X của một không gian Hilbert phức với số chiều tùy ý và ψ là một hàm chỉnh hình trên B_X , φ là một ánh xạ tự chỉnh hình của B_X . Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi khảo sát các đặc trưng cho tính bị chặn và tính compact của toán tử hợp có trọng $W_{\psi, \varphi}, f \mapsto \psi \cdot (\varphi)$, từ không gian kiểu Bloch (nhỏ) $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ đến không gian tăng trưởng $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X), \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ theo tính chất lý thuyết của ψ và ước lượng hàm $\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$, những hạn chế của các hàm ψ, φ đến các không gian con m chiều với $m \geq 2$. Chúng tôi cũng đạt được một công thức chính xác của chuẩn toán tử $W_{\psi, \varphi}$.

Từ khóa: Toán tử hợp có trọng, hình cầu đơn vị, không gian Bloch, không gian tăng trưởng, tính bị chặn, tính compact.

Weighted composition operators from Bloch-type spaces into growth spaces on the unit ball of a Hilbert space

ABSTRACT

Let ν, μ be normal weights on the unit ball B_X of an Hilbert space X with arbitrary dimension and ψ be a holomorphic function on B_X and φ a holomorphic self-map of B_X . In this work, we characterize the boundedness and the compactness weighted composition operators $W_{\psi, \varphi}$, $f \mapsto \psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$, from the Bloch-type spaces $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ to the (little) growth spaces $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$, $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ in terms of function theoretic properties of the symbol ψ and the point evaluation function $\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$ specifically, of the restrictions of functions ψ, φ to the m -dimensional subspaces for some $m \geq 2$. We obtain also an exact formula of the operator norm of $W_{\psi, \varphi}$.

Keywords: *Weighted composition operator, unit ball, Bloch spaces, growth spaces, boundedness, compactness*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball B_X of a Banach space X , ψ be a holomorphic function on B_X and φ a holomorphic self-map of B_X . The weighted composition operator, defined by symbols ψ and φ , maps from \mathcal{E}_1 to \mathcal{E}_2 and is given by

$$W_{\psi, \varphi}(f) = M_\psi C_\varphi(f) = \psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi)$$

where M_ψ represents the multiplication operator with symbol ψ and C_φ denotes the composition operator with symbol φ .

In recent years, there has been significant interest in studying weighted composition operators. A well-known theorem due to Banach states that for a compact metric space

K , the onto linear isometries of $C(K)$ are of the form $Tf = u(f \circ \varphi)$ where $|u(x)| = 1$ for all $x \in K$, and $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$ is a homeomorphism. Motivated by this theorem, active research on the description of the isometries of Banach spaces of analytic functions has shown that the weighted composition operators characterize the isometries of many Banach spaces of analytic functions, including the Hardy space H^p (for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $p \neq 2$), the weighted Bergman space, and the disk algebra (see [1]).

We refer to a standard reference [2] for various aspects on the theory of (weighted) composition operators acting on several spaces of holomorphic functions. There is a vast literature on the weighted composition operators

or integral operators between specific holomorphic function spaces. In order to treat these specific spaces in a unified manner, some frameworks of Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disk were introduced (see, e.g. [34]). For example, in [3], the authors provided some topological and function theoretic conditions for the domain space and then provide boundedness and compactness criteria, as well as estimates of the operator norm and the essential norm of the weighted composition operators mapping to the weighted-type space⁴ or the Bloch-type space on the unit disk. In recent years, considerable interest has emerged in the study of the weighted composition operators. Recently, interest has arisen in composition operators and operator-valued multipliers on many vector-valued analytic function spaces as well as in the case X is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, see, for example [5678910].

Our setting in this paper will be to discuss the boundedness, compactness of the weighted composition operators⁴¹ $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ in the case \mathcal{E}_1 is a general Banach spaces of holomorphic functions and \mathcal{E}_2 is either growth space $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ or the little growth space $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ determined by the growth of the functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X) : \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z)|f(z)| < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X) : \lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z)|f(z)| = 0 \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(B_X)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on B_X and μ is a normal weight on B_X . These growth spaces were first studied by Rubel and Shields [11] in the setting of $X = \mathbb{C}$.

Growth spaces are an interesting and important class of Banach spaces of holomorphic functions. They have been explored in

many different contexts and there are many general and more specific references such as, for example [1213]. Some well known properties of these spaces, for B_X is the unit disk $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}$, are that:

- For a normal weight μ , $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ is strictly bigger than \mathcal{H}^∞ (the space of bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B}) if and only if $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) = 0$. If on the other hand, $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) > 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0 = \{0\}$;
- The topologies on $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ is stronger than the compact open topology τ_{co} ;
- The bidual $[\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(\mathbb{B})]''$ isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$;
- The point evaluation functionals on $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(\mathbb{B})$, denoted by $\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}}$, is bounded and is uniquely extended to point evaluation functional on $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ with equal norms;
- The differentiation operator sending $f \mapsto f''$ is an isometric isomorphism between $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0(\mathbb{B})$, the subspace of the Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_\mu(\mathbb{B})$ of functions with $f(0) = 0$, and $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$. Note that the Bloch space contains functions determined by the growth of the functions derivatives, so it is closely related to growth spaces;
- The differentiation operator sending $f \mapsto f''$ is an isometric isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_α^0 , the subspace of the $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty$ of functions with $f(0) = 0$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha+1}^\infty$, where $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^\infty$ is the growth space $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B})$ with the weight $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$;
- The maps $z \mapsto \delta_z^{\mathcal{H}}$ is continuous, and $\|\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}}\|$ goes to infinity as $|z| \rightarrow 1$.

These are just a few of the reasons motivating our research.

In Section 2 we recall crucial conditions for spaces of holomorphic functions that shall be used to establish the boundedness, the compactness as well as for providing essential norm estimates of these operators in our settings.

To characterize the boundedness and compactness, basing on the idea in [9] with minor modifications, in Section 3 we give the connection between functions in the growth space $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ and their restrictions to finite-dimensional ones, which leads to the fact that if the restrictions of the function to the m -dimensional subspaces, $m \geq 2$, have their growth-norms uniformly bounded, then the function is in the growth spaces $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{B}_m)$ and conversely.

In Section 4 we characterize the boundedness and the compactness of $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ from $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ into $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ and into $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ as well as calculate the operator norms. We will show that these characterizations are completely determined by their behaviour on $\psi^{[m]}$ and on the point evaluation functions $\delta_{\varphi^{[m]}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$ and $\delta_{\varphi^{(m)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$, where $\psi^{[m]}$ and $\varphi^{[m]}$ are the restrictions of ψ and φ , respectively, on the m -dimensional subspaces and $\varphi^{(m)} = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$, $m \geq 2$.

Throughout this paper, we use the notions $a \lesssim b$ and $a \asymp b$ for non negative quantities a and b to mean $a \leq Cb$ and, respectively, $C^{-1}b \leq a \leq Cb$ for some inessential constant $C > 0$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let X be a complex Hilbert space of arbitrary dimension, Y a Banach space. Denote by B_X the closed unit ball of X , and we write \mathbb{B}_n instead of $B_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. Let $(e_k)_{k \in \Gamma}$ be an orthonormal basis of X that we fix at once. Then every $z \in X$ can be written as

$$z = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} z_k e_k, \quad \bar{z} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \bar{z}_k e_k.$$

2.1. Möbius transformations

The analogues of Möbius transformations on a Hilbert space X are the mappings $\Phi_a : B_X \rightarrow B_X$, $a \in B_X$, defined as follows:

$$\Phi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a(z) - s_a Q_a(z)}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}, \quad z \in B_X \quad (2.1)$$

where $s_a = \sqrt{1 - \|a\|^2}$, P_a is the orthogonal projection from X onto the one dimensional subspace $[a]$ generated by a , and Q_a is the orthogonal projection from X onto $X \ominus [a]$. It is clear that

$$P_a(z) = \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{\|a\|^2} a, \quad (z \in X) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q_a(z) = z - \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{\|a\|^2} a, \quad (z \in B_X).$$

When $a = 0$, we define $\Phi_a(z) = -z$.

Denote by $\text{Aut}(B_X)$ the group of automorphisms of the unit ball B_X .

For details concerning Möbius transformations we refer to the book of K. Zhu [14].

2.2. Banach spaces of holomorphic functions

By $\mathcal{H}(B_X, Y)$ we denote the vector space of Y -valued holomorphic functions on B_X . A holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X, Y)$ is called locally bounded holomorphic on B_X if for every $z \in B_X$ there exists a neighbourhood U_z of $z \in X$ such that $f(U_z)$ is bounded. Put

$$\mathcal{H}_{LB}(B_X, Y) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X, Y) : f \text{ is locally bounded on } B_X\}.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X)$ and $z \in B_X$. We will denote, as usual, by $\nabla f(z)$ the gradient of f at z ; that is, the unique element in E representing the linear operator $f'(z) \in X'$. We can write

$$\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z) \right)_{k \in \Gamma}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z)(x) &= \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)(x_k e_k) \\ &= \langle x, \overline{\nabla f(z)} \rangle, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

We define the radial derivative of f at $z \in B_X$ as follows:

$$Rf(z) := \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_k}(z)(z_k e_k) = \langle z, \nabla f(z) \rangle.$$

It is obvious that

$$|Rf(z)| \leq \|\nabla f(z)\| \|z\|, \quad z \in B_X.$$

Now, let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}(B_X)$ be a Banach space.

For each $z \in B_X$, denote $\delta_z^\mathcal{E}$ the point-evaluation functional defined by $\delta_z^\mathcal{E}(f) := f(z)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus, for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}$ and $z \in B_X$,

$$|f(z)| \leq \|f\| \|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\|, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\| = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in \mathcal{E}, \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

For all $\Phi = (\Phi_j)_{j \in \Gamma} \in \text{Aut}(B_X)$, for every $j \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and all $f \in \mathcal{E}$, we write

$$\Phi_{(m)} = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m),$$

$$f \cdot \Phi_{(m)} = (f \cdot \Phi_1, \dots, f \cdot \Phi_m).$$

We state below a comprehensive list of conditions some of which will be needed to characterize boundedness, compactness, or determine the essential norm of the operators under consideration in this work.

(e1) \mathcal{E} contains the constant functions.

(e2) The closed unit ball $B_\mathcal{E}$ is compact in the compact open topology τ_{co} .

(e3) There are $m \geq 2$ and constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(B_X)$, for all $f \in \mathcal{E}$, $\Phi_j \cdot f \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\|\Phi_j \cdot f\| \leq C \|f\|, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Remark 2.1. It follows from (e1) that $\inf_{z \in B_X} \|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\| > 0$, and in particular, the following equivalent conditions are satisfied:

- (e1a) $\|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\|$ is bounded from below by a positive constant on compact sets;
- (e1b) For each point $z \in B_X$ the functions in \mathcal{E} do not all vanish at z .

Indeed, since the function $1 \in \mathcal{E}$, for every $z \in B_X$ we have $\|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\| \geq \frac{1}{\|1\|}$. It is obvious that (e1a) \Rightarrow (e1b). Now, assume that (e1b) holds but (e1a) fails. Then there exist a compact subset K of B_X , a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in K$ at $z_0 \in K$ such that $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ and $\|\delta_{z_n}^\mathcal{E}\| \rightarrow 0$. This clearly implies that $f(z_0) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{E}$, which contradicts (e1b).

By the uniform boundedness principle, we can easily prove the following:

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a Banach space of holomorphic functions on B_X . Then the mapping $\delta^\mathcal{E} : B_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z \mapsto \|\delta_z^\mathcal{E}\|$, is bounded on compact subsets of B_X .

3. GROWTH SPACES AND BLOCH-TYPE SPACES

For a normal weight ν on B_X , we denote

$$I_\nu^1(z) := \int_0^{\|z\|} \frac{dt}{\nu(t)}.$$

Remark 3.1. Since ν is positive, continuous, $m_{\nu,\delta} := \min_{t \in [0,\delta]} \nu(t) > 0$. Moreover, it follows from (W_1) that ν is strictly decreasing $[\delta, 1)$, hence, $\max_{t \in [0,1)} \nu(t) =: M_\nu < \infty$. Then, it is easy to check that

$$\nu(z) I_\nu^1(z) < R_\nu := \delta \frac{M_\nu}{m_{\nu,\delta}} + 1 - \delta < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

for every $z \in B_X$.

We define bounded holomorphic spaces $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$, growth holomorphic spaces $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$, little growth holomorphic spaces $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$, Bloch-type spaces $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$, and little Bloch-type spaces $\mathcal{B}_{\nu,0}(B_X)$ on the unit ball B_X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X) : \sup_{z \in B_X} |f(z)| < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X) : \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z) |f(z)| < \infty \right\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X) : \lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |f(z)| = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X) : \right.$$

$$\left. \|f\|_{s\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} := \sup_{z \in B_X} \nu(z) |Rf(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X)$, $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ and $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ are Banach under following norms

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z \in B_X} |f(z)|,$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty} := \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z) |f(z)|,$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} := |f(0)| + \|f\|_{s\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)},$$

respectively.

Now we consider the holomorphic function

$$g(z) := 1 + \sum_{k > k_0} 2^k z^{n_k}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_1, \quad (3.2)$$

where $k_0 = \lceil \log_2 \frac{1}{\nu(\delta)} \rceil$, $n_k = \lceil \frac{1}{1-r_k} \rceil$ with $r_k = \nu^{-1}(1/2^k)$ for every $k \geq 1$. Here the symbol $[x]$ means the greatest integer not bigger than x . By [15], Theorem 2.3, $g(t)$ is increasing on $[0, 1]$ and

$$|g(z)| \leq g(\|z\|) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_1,$$

$$0 < C_1 := \inf_{t \in [0,1]} \nu(t)g(t) \leq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \nu(t)g(t) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_1} \nu(z)|g(z)| =: C_2 < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.1. Let ν be a normal weight on B_X . Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $z \in B_X$ we have

$$|f(z)| \leq \mu(z)^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X), \quad (3.4)$$

$$|f(z)| \leq C(1 + I_\nu^1(z)) \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. The inequality (3.4) is obvious. The inequality (3.5) was proved in [9] (Proof of Theorem 3.2). \square

Lemma 3.2. Let ν be a normal weight on B_X . Then,

$$(1) \quad \|\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}_\nu^\infty(B_X)}\| = 1/\nu(z);$$

$$(2) \quad \|\delta_z^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \asymp 1 + I_\nu^1(z).$$

Proof. (1) It is obvious.

(2) It follows easily from the definition of $\delta_z^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$ and (3.5) that

$$\|\delta_z^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \lesssim 1 + I_\nu^1(z).$$

Now we consider the function f_0 given by

$$f_0(z) = (1 + C_2)^{-1} \left(1 + \int_0^{\|z\|} g(t) dt \right), \quad z \in B_X,$$

where g defined by (3.2). It is clear that $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ and by (3.3), it is easy to see that $\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \leq 1$. Then, in view of (3.3) again, this yields that

$$\|\delta_z^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \geq |f_0(z)| \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + C_2}, \frac{C_1}{1 + C_2} \right\} (1 + I_\nu^1(z)).$$

\square

It is easy to prove the following:

Corollary 3.3. $\mathcal{H}_\nu^\infty(B_X)$, $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ satisfy the properties (e1), (e2), (e3).

We will show below that the study of growth spaces on the unit ball can be reduced to studying functions defined on finite dimensional subspaces. Note that, the similar results for Bloch-type spaces have just been studied in [9].

For each finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$, in symbol $|F| = m < \infty$, we denote by $\mathbb{B}_{[F]}$ the unit ball of $\text{span}\{e_k, k \in F\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F = \{1, \dots, m\}$, and hence $\mathbb{B}_{[F]} = \mathbb{B}_m$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\mu^{[m]} = \mu|_{\text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}},$$

$$z_{[m]} := (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{B}_m.$$

For $m \geq 2$, by

$$OS_m := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

$$x_k \in X, \langle x_k, x_j \rangle = \delta_{kj}\},$$

we denote the family of orthonormal systems

of order m . It is clear that OS_1 is the unit sphere of X .

For every $x \in OS_m$, $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X)$, we define

$$f_x(z_{[m]}) = f\left(\sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k\right).$$

Then

$$\|\nabla f_x(z_{[m]})\| = \left\| \nabla f\left(\sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k\right) \right\|. \quad (3.6)$$

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbb{B}_1 be the open unit ball in \mathbb{C} and $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X)$. We define an affine norm as follows

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}(B_X)} := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|f(\cdot x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B}_1)},$$

where $f(\cdot x) : \mathbb{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(\cdot x)(\lambda) = f(\lambda x)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_1$, and

$$\|f(\cdot x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B}_1)} = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_1} \mu(\lambda x) |f(\lambda x)|.$$

It is easy to see that the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}(B_X)$

$$:= \{f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}(B_X)} < \infty\}$$

is Banach under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}(B_X)}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X)$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$;
- (2) $\sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)} < \infty$ for every $m \geq 2$;
- (3) $\sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)} < \infty$ for some $m \geq 2$.

Moreover, for each $m \geq 2$

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} = \sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $m \geq 2$ and $z_{[m]} := (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{B}_m$. Since $\|\sum_{j=1}^m z_j e_j\| = \|z_{[m]}\|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(B_X)} &= \sup_{z_{[m]} \in \mathbb{B}_m} \mu^{[m]}(z_{[m]}) |f_x(z_{[m]})| \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z) \left| f\left(\sum_{j \in F} z_j e_j\right) \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In particular, we obtain (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): It is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume that there exists $m \geq 2$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)} < \infty.$$

We fix $z \in B_X$, $z \neq 0$. Consider $x = (\frac{z}{\|z\|}, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in OS_m$ and put $z_{[m]} := (\|z\|, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then $\|z_{[m]}\| = \|z\|$ and

$$|f_x(z_{[m]})| = \left| f\left(\sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k\right) \right| = |f(z)|.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} &= \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z) |f(z)| \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu^{[m]}(z_{[m]}) |f_x(z_{[m]})| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$.

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\sup_{x \in OS_m} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}(\mathbb{B}_m)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)}$$

$$\text{for every } m \geq 2. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, we obtain (3.7) from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10). \square

Remark 3.2. The proposition is not true for the case $m = 1$.

Indeed, let X be a Hilbert space with the orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Consider $\mu(z) := 1 - \|z\|^2$, and $f : B_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \frac{e_n}{n} - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}, e_n \right\rangle, \quad z \in B_X.$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(B_X)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \left\langle \frac{e_n}{n} - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}, e_n \right\rangle \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} + \|z\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^{3/2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For each $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n \in OS_1$ and for every $z_{[1]} := z_k \in \mathbb{B}_1$ for some $k \geq 1$, we have

$$f_x(z_{[1]}) = f(z_k x_k) = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{z_k x_k}{\sqrt{k}},$$

and thus, since $|f_x(z_{[1]})| \leq 2$, we get

$$\sup_{x \in OS_1} \|f_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_1)} = \sup_{x \in OS_1} (1 - \|z_{[1]}\|^2) |f_x(z_{[1]})| \leq 2.$$

However, $f \notin \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$ because for every $z \in B_X$, we have

$$(1 - \|z\|^2) |f(z)| = (1 - \|z\|^2) \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle \frac{e_n}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}, e_n \right\rangle \right| \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Using a similar argument to that in the proof of Proposition 2.3 in [9], we easily obtain the following result, for which the proof will be omitted.

Proposition 3.5. The spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}^{\infty}(B_X)$ coincide. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu, \text{aff}}^{\infty}(B_X)} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X).$$

4. THE BOUNDEDNESS AND THE COMPACTNESS OF $W_{\psi, \varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$

In this section we consider the weighted composition operator $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ from $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)$ into $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$ and into $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^0(B_X)$ defined by

$$(W_{\psi, \varphi} f)(z) := \psi(z) \cdot (f \circ \varphi)(z), \quad z \in B_X.$$

The component operators are the multiplication operator $M_{\psi} f = \psi \cdot f$ and the composition operator $C_{\varphi} f = f \circ \varphi$, which correspond to the cases when the composition symbol φ is the identity function on \mathbb{B} and the multiplication symbol ψ is the constant function 1, respectively.

17

Theorem 4.1. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $W_{\psi, \varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$ is bounded;
- (2) $M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu}^{[m]} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_m} \mu^{[m]}(z) |\psi^{[m]}(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi^{[m]}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)}\| < \infty$ for some $m \geq 2$;
- (3) $M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu} := \sup_{z \in B_X} \mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)}\| < \infty$.

Moreover, in this case

$$\|W_{\psi, \varphi}\| = M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2): It is clear.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose $W_{\psi, \varphi} : \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)$ is bounded. Fix $z \in B_X$. For each $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) |\psi(z)| |f(\varphi(z))| &\leq \|W_{\psi, \varphi} f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} \\ &\leq \|W_{\psi, \varphi}\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)} \leq \|W_{\psi, \varphi}\|. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\delta^{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)}$ (see Proposition 2.1), taking the supremum over all f in the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)$, we obtain

$$\mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)}\| \leq \|W_{\psi, \varphi}\|.$$

Taking the supremum over all $z \in B_X$ yields

$$M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu} \leq \|W_{\psi, \varphi}\| < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Assume $M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu}^{[m]} < \infty$ for some $m \geq 2$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\nu}(B_X)} \leq 1$. For each $x \in OS_m$, we write $z_x := \sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k$. Note that $\|z_x\| = \|z_{[m]}\|$ and hence $\mu^{[m]}(z_{[m]}) = \mu^{[m]}(z_x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{z_x \in \mathbb{B}_m} \mu^{[m]}(z_x) |\psi^{[m]}(z_x)| (f \circ \varphi)_x(z_{[m]}) \\ &\leq M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu}^{[m]} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in OS_m$. By (3.7), $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ is bounded because

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{\psi, \varphi}(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu}^{\infty}(B_X)} &= \sup_{x \in OS_m} \|(W_{\psi, \varphi}(f))_x\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu^{[m]}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_m)} \\ &\leq M_{\psi, \varphi, \mu}^{[m]} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(4) \Rightarrow (2): For $z \in B_X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z)|\psi(z)||f(\varphi(z))| &\leq \mu(z)|\psi(z)|\|\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \\ &\leq M_{\psi,\varphi,\mu} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the supremum over all $z \in B_X$, we obtain

$$\|W_{\psi,\varphi}f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} \leq M_{\psi,\varphi,\mu} < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Finally, from (4.2), (4.3) we deduce (4.1). \square

We next characterize the compactness of the operators $W_{\psi,\varphi}$. As in [10] we can prove the following:

Lemma 4.2 ([10], Lemma 2.10). Let \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be two Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on B_X . Suppose that

- (1) The point evaluation functionals on \mathcal{E} are continuous;
- (2) The closed unit ball of \mathcal{E} is a compact subset of \mathcal{E} in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets;
- (3) $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is continuous when \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are given the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

Then, T is a compact operator if and only if given a bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathcal{E} such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact sets, then the sequence $\{Tf_n\}$ converges to zero in the norm of \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $W_{\psi,\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ is bounded. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) There exists $m \geq 2$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sup_{\|\varphi_{(m)}(z)\| > r} \mu(z)|\psi(z)|\|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\varphi_{(m)} := (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$.

- (2) $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact.

Proof. First we show that $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$. Indeed, since $W_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded, by Theorem 4.1, $M_{\psi,\varphi,\mu} < \infty$. Then, by Remark 2.1, $\inf_{z \in B_X} \|\delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| =: \alpha > 0$. Consequently,

$$\alpha\mu(z)|\psi(z)| < M_{\psi,\varphi,\mu}, \quad z \in B_X.$$

This means $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $W_{\psi,\varphi} : \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$ is compact. Fix $m \geq 2$. It is obvious that (4.4) holds if $\varphi_{(m)}(B_X)$ is relatively compact in B_X . So assume $\varphi_{(m)}(B_X) \cap \partial B_X \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\{z^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in B_X such that $\|\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)\| \rightarrow 1$. By the definition of $\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ is given we can find a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ with $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \leq 1$ for every $n \geq 1$ satisfying

$$|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z^n))| > \|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| - \varepsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

By the condition (e2), without loss of generality, we may assume that $f_n \rightarrow 0 \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ uniformly on compact subsets of B_X and $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly bounded on compact sets.

For each $n \geq 1$, denote $a^n := \varphi(z^n)$ and consider the automorphism $\Phi_{a^n} \in \text{Aut}(B_X)$ defined by (2.1). For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, put

$$G_{a^n,j} := (a_{(m)}^n)_j \cdot f_n - ((\Phi_{a^n})_{(m)})_j \cdot f_n.$$

By (e3), $G_{a^n,j} \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$. It is an easy calculation that for every $w \in B_X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{a^n,j}(w)| &= |(a_{(m)}^n)_j \cdot f_n(w) - ((\Phi_{a^n})_{(m)}(w))_j| \\ &\leq \frac{3\sqrt{1 - \|a_{(m)}^n\|^2}}{1 - \|w\|} |f_n(w)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (2.2),

$$|G_{a^n,j}(w)| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{1 - \|a_{(m)}^n\|^2}}{1 - \|w\|} \|\delta_w\|,$$

consequently, by Proposition 2.1 and since $\|a_{(m)}^n\| = \|\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)\| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\{G_{a^n,j}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions converging to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of B_X for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Now by the condition (e3), there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_{a^n, j}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \\ & \leq \|a_{(m)}^n\| \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} + \|((\Phi_{a^n})_{(m)})_j \cdot f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \\ & \leq (C+1) \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \leq C+1 \quad \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), any bounded sequence in $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ is uniformly bounded on compact sets and any sequence in $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ that converges to 0 in norm, also converges uniformly on compact sets. Therefore, since $W_{\psi, \varphi}$ is compact, by Lemma 4.2, $\|\psi \cdot ((G_{a^n})_j \circ \varphi)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Note that $\Phi_{a^n}(a^n) = 0$. Therefore, by (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \|\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)\| (\|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| - \varepsilon) \\ & \leq \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \|\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)\| \|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z^n))\| \\ & = \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m |(G_{a^n, j})(\varphi_{(m)}(z^n))|^2} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^m \|\psi \cdot ((G_{a^n, j}) \circ \varphi)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}^2} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\|$$

$$< \varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \leq \varepsilon \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, it follows that (4.4) holds.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume that there exists $m \geq 2$ such that (4.4) holds. By Lemma 4.2 it suffices to show that if $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ converging to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of B_X such that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ then $\|W_{\psi, \varphi} f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be such a sequence, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose a number $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\|\varphi_{(m)}(z)\| > r$. Since for all $w \in B_X$, $|f_n(w)| \leq \|\delta_w^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\|$, if $\|\varphi_{(m)}(z)\| > r$, then $\mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z))\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $\mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z))\| < \varepsilon$ if $\|\varphi(z)\| > r$,

because $\|\varphi(z)\| \geq \|\varphi_{(m)}(z)\| > r$ for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_X$.

Now, we consider the case $\|\varphi(z)\| \leq r$. Then $\|\varphi_{(m)}(z)\| \leq r$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & B[\varphi_{(m)}, r] \\ & := \{\varphi_{(m)}(y) : \|\varphi_{(m)}(y)\| < r, y \in B_X\} \\ & \subset \mathbb{B}_m \subset \mathbb{C}^m \end{aligned}$$

is relatively compact for every $0 \leq r < 1$, by the hypothesis $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $B[\varphi_{(m)}, r]$. Then, there exists a natural number N such that $|f_n(w)| < \varepsilon / \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}$ for all $n > N$ whenever $w \in B[\varphi_{(m)}, r]$. Thus,

$$\mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z))\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if } \|\varphi(z)\| \leq r.$$

□

We now discuss the boundedness and the compactness of the weighted composition operator mapping into $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$.

Theorem 4.4. The following are equivalent:

(1) $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$, and there exists $m \geq 2$,

$\varphi_{(m)}(rB_X)$ is relatively compact

for every $0 \leq r < 1$, (4.6)

$$\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(k)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| = 0, k \geq 1; \quad (4.7)$$

(2) $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0 : \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ is compact.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose (1) holds. Fix $f \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$. We show that $W_{\psi, \varphi} f = \psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$. Since $\mu(z) |\psi(z)| |f(\varphi_{(k)}(z))| \rightarrow \mu(z) |\psi(z)| |f(\varphi(z))|$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each $z \in B_X$, and $\mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ is closed in $\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$, it suffices to check that $\psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{(k)}) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ for every $k \geq 1$. Given $k \geq 1$. By the hypothesis (1), for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(z) |\psi(z)| |f(\varphi_{(k)}(z))| \\ & \leq \mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(k)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \quad \text{for } \|z\| > r. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, it follows from assumption (1) that

$$\sup_{\|z\| \leq r} \mu(z) |\psi(z)| |f(\varphi_{(k)}(z))|$$

$$\leq \mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(k)}(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Consequently, $\psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{(k)}) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$. Moreover, by (4.8), $\psi \cdot (f \circ \varphi_{(k)}) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$.

We also obtain from (4.8) and (4.9) that W_{ψ}^0 is bounded.

The compactness of the operator $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0$ now follows by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and the condition (4.6).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): First, since $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0$ is bounded and $1 \in \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ it is easy to check that $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$.

In order to prove (4.6), first we have to show the following claim:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|z - w\| \leq \|\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} - \delta_w^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}\|, \quad z, w \in B_X. \quad (4.10)$$

Indeed, it is easy to check by direct calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|z - w\| &\leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)(1 - \|w\|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^2}} \\ &= \varrho_X(z, w), \end{aligned}$$

where ϱ_X is the pseudohyperbolic metric in \mathbb{B}_X (see [16] p.99). On the other hand, $\varrho_X(z, w) = \sup\{\varrho(f(z), f(w)) :$

$$f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X) \text{ with } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

(see (3.4) in [5]), where $\varrho(x, y) = \left| \frac{x-y}{1-\bar{x}y} \right|$, $x, y \in \mathbb{B}_1$, is the pseudohyperbolic metric in \mathbb{B}_1 . Note that, since the function $\eta \mapsto \frac{\eta}{f(z)f(w)}$ is holomorphic from \mathbb{B}_1 into \mathbb{B}_1 and $f(z) - f(w) \mapsto 0$, it follows from Schwarz's lemma that $\varrho(f(z), f(w)) \leq |f(z) - f(w)|$ for every $z, w \in B_X$. Consequently,

$$\varrho_X(z, w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup\{|f(z) - f(w)| : \\ &\quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X) \text{ with } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}(f) - \delta_w^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}(f)| : \\ &\quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(B_X) \text{ with } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\} \\ &= \|\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} - \delta_w^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.10) is proved.

Next, we prove (4.6). For $0 < r < 1$, the set $V_r := \{\delta_z^{\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)} : \|z\| \leq r\} \subset (\mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X))'$ is bounded. Then, by the compactness of $W_{\psi, \varphi}$, the set

$$(W_{\psi, \varphi})^*(V_r) = \{\psi(z) \delta_{\varphi(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} : \|z\| \leq r\}$$

is relatively compact in $[\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)]'$.

It should be noted that, for every subset K of the dual of a Banach space $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ and every bounded subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, if the set $\{t\eta : t \in D, \eta \in A\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ then $A \subset [\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)]'$ is relatively compact. With this fact in hand, since the set $\{\psi(z) : \|z\| \leq r\}$ is bounded, the set $\{\delta_z^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} : \|z\| \leq r\}$ is relatively compact. Then, it follows from the inequality (4.10) that $\varphi(rB_X)$ is relatively compact, so is $\varphi_{(m)}(rB_X)$ for $m \geq 2$.

Finally, we prove (4.7). Assume that there exist $m \geq 1$, $\varrho > 0$ and a sequence $\{z^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset B_X$ such that $\|z^n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| \|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}\| > \varrho$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, we may choose $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)$ such that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)} \leq 1$ and $|f_n(\varphi_{(m)}(z^n))| > \|\delta_{\varphi_{(m)}(z^n)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| - \varrho/2$ for every $n \geq 1$. Thus

$$\mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)| |f(\varphi_{(m)}(z^n))| > \varrho - \varrho/2 \mu(z^n) |\psi(z^n)|.$$

Therefore, since $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$, $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0 f_n \notin \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$. This contradicts the boundedness of $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0$. \square

Remark 4.1. In the case of $\dim X < \infty$, and following the proof of Theorem 4.4, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\psi(z)| \|\delta_{\varphi(k)(z)}^{\mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X)}\| = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_\mu^\infty(B_X)$;
- (2) $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0 : \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ is compact;
- (3) $W_{\psi, \varphi}^0 : \mathcal{B}_\nu(B_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mu^0(B_X)$ is bounded.

Bản check đạo văn - Thanh Vỹ.pdf

ORIGINALITY REPORT

47%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** export.arxiv.org Internet 704 words — 13%
- 2** dergipark.org.tr Internet 443 words — 8%
- 3** Flavia Colonna, Maria Tjani. "Operator norms and essential norms of weighted composition operators between Banach spaces of analytic functions", Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2016 Crossref 330 words — 6%
- 4** Flavia Colonna, Maria Tjani. "Essential Norms of Weighted Composition Operators from Hilbert Function Spaces into Zygmund-Type Spaces", Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 2015 Crossref 157 words — 3%
- 5** Nina Zorboska. "Intrinsic Operators from Holomorphic Function Spaces to Growth Spaces", Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 2017 Crossref 155 words — 3%
- 6** Shuming Wang, Maofa Wang, Xin Guo. "Products of composition, multiplication and radial derivative operators between Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball", Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 2019 Crossref 126 words — 2%

7	comp.uark.edu Internet	90 words — 2%
8	www.researchgate.net Internet	49 words — 1%
9	Shams Alyusof, Flavia Colonna. "Operator Norms and Essential Norms of Weighted Composition Operators from Analytic Function Spaces into Zygmund-type Spaces", Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 2020 Crossref	41 words — 1%
10	ia600204.us.archive.org Internet	38 words — 1%
11	www.tandfonline.com Internet	38 words — 1%
12	hdl.handle.net Internet	37 words — 1%
13	Xiangling Zhu. "Weighted Composition Operators from Spaces to Spaces", Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2009 Crossref	31 words — 1%
14	Hanoi Pedagogical University 2 Publications	30 words — 1%
15	Ian Graham, Gabriela Kohr. "Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions", CRC Press, 2019 Publications	23 words — < 1%
16	Hanoi Pedagogical University 2 Publications	19 words — < 1%

17	Flavia Colonna, Nacir Hmidouch. "Essential norm of intrinsic operators from Banach spaces of analytic functions into weighted-type spaces", <i>Advances in Operator Theory</i> , 2023 Crossref	16 words — < 1%
18	scma.maragheh.ac.ir Internet	15 words — < 1%
19	www.vjol.info.vn Internet	15 words — < 1%
20	Hanoi National University of Education Publications	14 words — < 1%
21	Ton Duc Thang University Publications	14 words — < 1%
22	Robert F. Allen. "WEighted Composition Operators on the Bloch Space of a Bounded Homogeneous Domain", <i>Topics in Operator Theory</i> , 2010 Crossref	13 words — < 1%
23	bass.math.uconn.edu Internet	13 words — < 1%
24	Mohammed Said Al Ghafri, Jasbir Singh Manhas. "Sum of generalized weighted composition operators between weighted spaces of analytic functions", <i>Asian-European Journal of Mathematics</i> , 2022 Crossref	12 words — < 1%
25	arxiv.org Internet	11 words — < 1%
26	www.eudoxuspress.com Internet	11 words — < 1%

-
- 27 xemtailieu.net 11 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 28 "Chapter 1 Preliminaries", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2005 10 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 29 Ze Hua Zhou, Yu Xia Liang, Hong Gang Zeng. "Essential norms of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman space to mixed-norm space on the unit ball", Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 2012 10 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 30 www.emis.de 10 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 31 René E. Castillo, Carlos E. Marrero-Rodríguez, Julio C. Ramos-Fernández. "On a Criterion for Continuity and Compactness of Composition Operators on the Weighted Bloch Space", Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 2014 9 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 32 citeseerx.ist.psu.edu 9 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 33 Stevo Stević, Sei-Ichiro Ueki. "On an Integral-Type Operator Acting between Bloch-Type Spaces on the Unit Ball", Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2010 8 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 34 Xiangling Zhu. "Weighted composition operators from the minimal Möbius invariant space into n-th weighted-type spaces", Annals of Functional Analysis, 2020 8 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 35 Yongmin Liu, Yanyan Yu. "Weighted Differentiation Composition Operators from Mixed-Norm to 8 words — < 1%

-
- 36 [dokumen.pub](#) 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 37 [ijnaa.semnan.ac.ir](#) 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 38 [mafiadoc.com](#) 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 39 Jineng Dai. "Differences of Weighted Composition Operators on $H^\infty(BN)$ ", Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2009
Crossref
-
- 40 ZE-HUA ZHOU, REN-YU CHEN. "WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS FROM $F(p, q, s)$ TO BLOCH TYPE SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL", International Journal of Mathematics, 2012
Crossref
-
- 41 Zhong-Shan Fang, Ze-Hua Zhou. "The Topological Structure of Weighted Composition Operators from Lipschitz Spaces to H^∞ ", Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 2017
Crossref
-
- 42 MANHAS, Jasbir Singh, and Ruhan ZHAO. "Essential norms of products of weighted composition operators and differentiation operators between banach spaces of analytic functions", Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2015.
Crossref

43 Robert F. Allen. "Weighted Composition Operators from H^∞ to the Bloch Space of a Bounded Homogeneous Domain", Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 01/13/2010 6 words — < 1%
Crossref

44 Shams Alyusof, Flavia Colonna. "Essential Norms of Weighted Composition Operators from Analytic Function Spaces into Iterated Weighted-Type Banach Spaces", Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 2023 6 words — < 1%
Crossref

45 Zikang Su, Yiheng Liu, Honglun Wang. "Probe dynamics direct control for aerial recovery with preassigned docking performance", IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 2022 6 words — < 1%
Crossref

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF