

QNUJS-A2528
Response to Reviewers (Round 2)

Thank you for your thoughtful feedback. We have carefully considered all of your suggestions and have revised the manuscript accordingly. We believe these changes have significantly improved the quality of our work. Changes are highlighted in yellow.

	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Authors 'responses
Abstract:	/	It should be mentioned that the target audience for skill enhancement is students.	Thank you Reviewer. We added.
Literature Review:	/	The introduction needs more scientific evidence (articles, references) to make it more convincing.	We added.
Methodology:	A short justification of dataset representativeness and keyword selection could also be added for greater methodological rigor.	/	Thank you Reviewer. Authors explained this on page 4.

Results & Discussion:	The discussion section would benefit from deeper theoretical interpretation of the differences between English and Vietnamese sources, going beyond keyword explanations to consider cultural or educational contexts.	/	<p>Thank you reviewer.</p> <p>We'd like to clarify that the English-language search was also geographically restricted to Vietnam. Overall, the chart demonstrates a convergence between the two datasets (English and Vietnamese articles) in identifying essential skills. The discrepancy, specifically the greater volume of Vietnamese search results relative to English, which inherently limit the number of English-language websites addressing the subject.</p> <p>There are slight differences in skill rankings, we added explanations.</p>
Conclusion & Recommendations:	/	/	/
Reference	A careful check of references for full APA 7 compliance is recommended.	/	<p>Thank you. We reviewed and added.</p> <p>We comply with the regulations at: https://qnujs.vn/huong-dan-tap-chi/55cddf94-6289-4bb3-913f-2ca96b3ff87e</p>
Language and consistency	/	/	/