TẠP CHÍ KHOA HỌC
TRƯỜNG ĐẠI HỌC QUY NHƠN

Bài toán ước lượng lỗi H_∞ cho một lớp hệ có trễ sử dụng một phép biến đổi trạng thái mới

Đặng Thị Kiêm Hồng[1], Lê Bá Thông[2], Đinh Công Hướng[3,*]

[1] Khoa Hệ thống thông tin quản lý, Trường Đại học Tài chính - Kế toán, Quảng Ngãi, Việt Nam
[2] Khoa Khoa học Tự nhiên và Công nghệ, Trường Đại học Tây Nguyên, Đắk Lắk, Việt Nam
[3] Khoa Toán và Thống kê, Trường Đại học Quy Nhơn, Bình Định, Việt Nam

Ngày nhận bài: 29/06/2021, Ngày nhận đăng: 06/09/2021

TÓM TẮT

Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi nghiên cứu bài toán ước lượng lỗi H_∞ cho một lớp hệ có trễ với đầu vào không xác định. Đầu tiên, một phép biến đổi trạng thái mới được đề xuất để biến đổi hệ thống có trễ đang xét thành một hệ thống mới trong đó số hạng trễ liên quan đến véc tơ trạng thái được chuyển đến đầu ra và đầu vào của hệ thống. Sau đó, một bộ quan sát mới được thiết kế dựa trên thông tin đầu vào và đầu ra để giải quyết bài toán ước lượng lỗi H_∞. Sử dụng Bổ đề Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov tổng quát, các điều kiện đủ về sự tồn tại bộ quan sát ước lượng lỗi H_∞ được thiết lập và việc giải các ma trận quan sát đạt được quy về việc giải một tập các bất đẳng thức ma trận tuyến tính. Một ví dụ số được đưa ra để minh họa tính hiệu quả của phương pháp được đề xuất.

Từ khóa: *Hệ trễ thời gian, phép biến đổi trạng thái, bổ đề Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov tổng quát, ước lượng lỗi.*

**Tác giả liên hệ chính.*
Email: dinhconghuong@qnu.edu.vn

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
QUY NHON UNIVERSITY

H_∞ fault estimation problem for a class of time-delay systems using a new state transformation

Dang Thi Kiem Hong[1], Le Ba Thong[2], Dinh Cong Huong[3,*]

[1] *Faculty of Management Information Systems, University of Finance and Accounting, Quang Ngai, Vietnam*

[2] *Faculty of Natural Sciences and Technology, Tay Nguyen University, Dak Lak, Vietnam*

[3] *Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Quy Nhon University, Binh Dinh, Vietnam*

Received: 29/06/2021; Accepted: 06/09/2021

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study the problem of H_∞ fault estimation for a class of time-delay systems with unknown inputs. First, a new state transformation is derived to transform the system into new coordinates where the delay term associated with the state vector is injected into the system output and input. Then, an observer-based H_∞ fault estimator with input and output injections is proposed for fault estimation. Based on the Generalized Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov Lemma, sufficient conditions on the existence of the H_∞ fault estimator are derived and a solution to the observer gain matrices is obtained by solving a set of linear matrix inequalities. A numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Keywords: *Time-delay systems, state transformations, generalised Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov Lemma, fault estimation.*

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATIONS

Fault detection and isolation is widely required in various kinds of practical processes for safety purposes and hence has been extensively studied in the past three decades by many authors (see,[1–5] and the references therein).

As well-known, the time-delays are inherent in many real physical systems, such as chemical processes, long transmission lines in pneumatic systems, power and water distribution networks, air pollution systems, econometric systems, hydraulic and rolling mill systems. Since the delayed state is frequently the cause for instability and poor performance of systems,[6] much attention has been paid to the fault detection design problems of the linear state delayed systems and many significant results have been achieved (see, for example,[7–17]). An adaptive observer based fault estimator was used to estimate the abrupt constant fault.[8] An iterative learning observer was designed for fault estimation and accommodation for nonlinear time-delay systems.[12] A new performance index was introduced to design the reference model, and the fault detection filter design problem was formulated as the H_∞ model-matching problem.[13] However, the above-mentioned studies are characterized in the full frequency domain. While, in many practical systems, the faults usually emerge in the low frequency domain, for example, in aerospace-related fields (see, for instance,[18,19] and in industrial processes[20,21]).

The generalised Kalman-Yakubovich- Popov (GKYP) Lemma was established and, based on this, the equivalence between finite frequency domain inequality for a transfer function and a linear matrix inequality (LMI) was built.[22] The authors have proposed a GKYP-Lemma based approach to the fault detection and fault estimation in a finite frequency domain.[23] The robust fault detection problem in low frequency domain for linear time-delay systems was investigated.[24] The H_∞ filtering problem of discrete-time state-delayed systems with finite frequency specifications was considered.[25] Some results on

* *Corresponding author.*
Email: dinhconghuong@qnu.edu.vn

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

H_∞ model reduction for continuous-time linear systems over finite frequency ranges were obtained.[26]

In recent years, the H_∞ problem of time-delay systems has attracted a lot of attention and many results have been reported in the literature (for instance,[27–29]). Based on the state transformation,[30] the authors[31] considered the problem of H_∞ fault estimation for a class of linear time-delay systems. Nevertheless, the existence conditions of the state transformations reported[30] are quite restrictive.[32,33] Thus, when the existence conditions[30] are not fulfilled, the methods reported[31] can not be applied. To support this statement, let us consider the following motivated fourth-order example,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t)+A_1x(t-\tau_1)+A_2x(t-\tau_2)\\ &\quad +Bu(t)+B_dd(t)+B_ff(t),\ t\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)+D_dd(t)+D_ff(t), \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= \phi(t),\ t\in[-\tau,0),\\ &\quad \tau=\max\{\tau_1,\tau_2\},\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $x(t)$ is the state vector, $u(t)$ is the control input vector, $y(t)$ is the output measurement vector, $\tau_1>0$ and $\tau_2>0$ are known constant time delays, $\phi(t)$ is a continuous initial function, $d(t)$ is the disturbance, $f(t)$ is the potential fault and

$$A=\begin{bmatrix}-1&-1&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\-2&-2&-3&-4\\-5&-5&0&0\end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_1=\begin{bmatrix}-1&-1&-1&-2\\-2&-2&-3&-3\\-4&0&-3&-5\\0&0&0&0\end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2=\begin{bmatrix}-4&-4&0&0\\1&1&0&0\\-2&-2&3&4\\0&0&0&0\end{bmatrix}, B=\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\3\\4\end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_d=\begin{bmatrix}0.1\\0.2\\0.3\\0.4\end{bmatrix},\ B_f=\begin{bmatrix}1.1\\1.2\\1.3\\1.4\end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_d=\begin{bmatrix}0.1\\0.2\end{bmatrix}, D_f=\begin{bmatrix}0.3\\0.4\end{bmatrix},$$

$$C=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, it is not hard to show that for the above example, the condition for the existence of a state transformation[30] (i.e., column unimodular) is not satisfied, and hence, the observer-based H_∞ fault estimator with input and output injections for fault estimation with known frequency range[31] can not be applied.

Motivated by the above discussions, in this paper, we consider the following class of time-delay systems with unknown inputs in finite frequency domain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t)+\sum_{s=1}^{q}A_sx(t-\tau_s)+Bu(t)\\ &\quad +B_dd(t)+B_ff(t),\ t\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)+D_dd(t)+D_ff(t), \tag{5}$$

$$x(t) = \phi(t),\ t\in[-\tau,0),\ \tau=\max_{1\leq s\leq q}\tau_s, \tag{6}$$

where $x(t)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u(t)\in\mathbb{R}^m$ is the control input vector, $y(t)\in\mathbb{R}^p$ is the output measurement vector, $\tau_s>0$ $(s=1,2,\ldots,q)$ are known constant time delays, $\phi(t)$ is a continuous initial function, $d(t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ is the disturbance, $f(t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n_f}$ is the potential fault, $d(t)$ and $f(t)$ are assumed to be L_2-norm bounded, matrices A, A_s $(s=1,2,\ldots,q)$, B, C, B_d, B_f, D_d and D_f are known constant and of appropriate dimensions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 proposes a novel state transformation for system (4)-(6). The problem of H_∞ fault estimation is studied in Section 3. Finally, a numerical example to demonstrate the obtained results is presented in Section 4.

Notations: For integer numbers $m,n,p,\ n>p$

and matrices $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times n}$, we have the following notations:

• $n_z = n + p - 1$.

• M^T, M^*, $M^\perp$, M^+ denote the transpose, complex conjugate transpose, orthogonal complement and the Moore-Penrose-inverse, respectively.

• I_n denotes the identity matrix of size n, $0_{m,n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ zero matrix.

• $H = \begin{bmatrix} [H]_L & [H]_R \end{bmatrix}$, where $[H]_L \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times p}$, $[H]_R \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(n-p)}$.

• $||\cdot||$ denotes the Euclidean vector norm of $(\cdot)$.

• L_2 is the space of square integrable functions over $[0,\infty)$ with $||\cdot||_{L_2} = \left(\int_0^\infty ||\cdot||^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

• $\sigma_{\max}(G)$ denotes maximum singular value of the transfer function matrix. H_∞ norm of a transfer function $G(s)$ over a finite frequency range $|w| \leqslant \bar{w}$ is defined as $||G(s)||_\infty^{[-\bar{w},\bar{w}]} = \sup \sigma_{\max}(G(iw))$, $\forall |w| \leqslant \bar{w}$.

2. STATE TRANSFORMATION

Let us assume that matrix C takes the following form

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ \vdots \\ C_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0_{p,n-p} \end{bmatrix}$$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &= C_i, H_{is} = 0_{1,n},\ i = 1,\dots,p, \\ &\quad s = 1,\dots,q, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$M_j = M_{j-p}A - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_j^k M_k, \tag{8}$$

$$H_{js} = M_{j-p}A_s - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \beta_{js}^k M_k, \tag{9}$$

$$M_{i+1} = M_i A - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \alpha_{i+1}^k M_k, \tag{10}$$

$$H_{(i+1)s} = M_i A_s + H_{is}A - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \beta_{(i+1)s}^k M_k, \tag{11}$$

where α_j^k, β_{js}^k $(j = p+1,\dots,2p)$, α_{i+1}^k and $\beta_{(i+1)s}^k$ $(i = 2p,\dots,n_z-1)$ are scalars will be determined. Let us now propose the following change of coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} z_i(t) &= M_i x(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}x(t-\tau_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)x(t-2\tau_s), \\ &\quad i = 1,2,\dots,n_z,\ t \geqslant 2\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\nu_{is}^j = 0$ are scalars will be determined later.

Theorem 2.1. *For some scalars α_i^j, β_{is}^j, γ_ℓ^m, $\gamma_{n_z}^r$, ν_{ks}^j, $(i = p+1,p+2,\dots,n_z, j = 1,2,\dots,p,\ s = 1,2,\dots,q,\ \ell = p+1,p+2,\dots,2p-1,\ m = 1,2,\dots,2p,\ r = p+1,p+2,\dots,n_z,\ k = 2p+1,2p+2,\dots,n_z)$, if the following equations hold*

$$\Big[M_i A - \sum_{j=p+1}^{2p} \gamma_i^j M_j\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Big[M_i A_s + H_{is}A - \sum_{j=p+1}^{2p} \gamma_i^j H_{js}\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{14}$$

$$\Big[H_{is}A_s\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{15}$$

$$\Big[H_{is}A_s + \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)A\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{16}$$

$$\Big[H_{it}A_m + H_{im}A_t\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{17}$$

$$\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)A_\ell\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{18}$$

$$\Big[M_{n_z}A - \sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z} \gamma_{n_z}^j M_j\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{19}$$

$$\Big[M_{n_z}A_s + H_{n_z s}A - \sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z} \gamma_{n_z}^j H_{js}\Big]_R = 0_{1,n-p}, \tag{20}$$

then (12) transforms (4)-(6) into the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z}(t) &= \mathcal{A}z(t) + \mathcal{B}u(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_s^1 u(t-\tau_s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_s^2 u(t-2\tau_s) + \mathcal{B}_d d(t) \end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{d_s}^1 d(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{d_s}^2 d(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$+ \mathcal{B}_f f(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{f_s}^1 f(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{f_s}^2 f(t-2\tau_s) + \Gamma y(t)$$
$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^1 y(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^2 y(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^3 y(t-3\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} \Gamma_{s\ell}^{12} y(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)$$
$$+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} \Gamma_{s\ell}^{21} y(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell),\ t \geqslant 3\tau, \qquad (21)$$
$$y(t) = \mathcal{C}z(t) + D_d d(t) + D_f f(t), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,p} & I_p & 0_{p,(n_z-2p)} \\ 0_{p-1,p} & \mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{22} & 0_{p-1,(n_z-2p)} \\ 0_{n_z-2p,p} & 0_{n_z-2p,p} & I_{n_z-2p} \\ 0_{1,p} & \mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{42} & \mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{43} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0_{p,(n_z-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p-1)\times p}$, $\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{42} \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times p}$, $\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{43} \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(n_z-2p)}$, $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_s^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_s^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_{d_s}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_{d_s}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_f \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_{f_s}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\mathcal{B}_{f_s}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times 1}$, $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$, $\Gamma_s^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$, $\Gamma_s^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$, $\Gamma_s^3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$ *(*$s = 1, 2, \ldots, q$*),* $\Gamma_{s\ell}^{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$ *and* $\Gamma_{s\ell}^{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z\times p}$ *are defined as below*

$$\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{22} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{p+1}^{p+1} & \gamma_{p+1}^{p+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{p+1}^{2p} \\ \gamma_{p+2}^{p+1} & \gamma_{p+2}^{p+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{p+2}^{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{2p-1}^{p+1} & \gamma_{2p-1}^{p+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{2p-1}^{2p} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{42} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{n_z}^{p+1} & \gamma_{n_z}^{p+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{n_z}^{2p} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{n_z}^{43} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{n_z}^{2p+1} & \gamma_{n_z}^{2p+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{n_z}^{n_z} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 B \\ M_2 B \\ M_3 B \\ \vdots \\ M_{n_z} B \end{bmatrix},\ \mathcal{B}_s^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,1} \\ H_{(p+1)s} B \\ \vdots \\ H_{(n_z-1)s} B \\ H_{n_z s} B \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_s^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,1} \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{(2p+1)s}^j M_j\right) B \\ \vdots \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{n_z s}^j M_j\right) B \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_d = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 B_d \\ M_2 B_d \\ M_3 B_d \\ \vdots \\ M_{n_z} B_d \end{bmatrix},\ \mathcal{B}_{d_s}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,1} \\ H_{(p+1)s} B_d \\ \vdots \\ H_{(n_z-1)s} B_d \\ H_{n_z s} B_d \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{d_s}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,1} \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{(2p+1)s}^j M_j\right) B_d \\ \vdots \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{n_z s}^j M_j\right) B_d \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_f = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 B_f \\ M_2 B_f \\ M_3 B_f \\ \vdots \\ M_{n_z} B_f \end{bmatrix},\ \mathcal{B}_{f_s}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,1} \\ H_{(p+1)s} B_f \\ \vdots \\ H_{(n_z-1)s} B_f \\ H_{n_z s} B_f \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{f_s}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,1} \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{(2p+1)s}^j M_j\right) B_f \\ \vdots \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \nu_{n_z s}^j M_j\right) B_f \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{p+1}^1 & \cdots & \alpha_{p+1}^p \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{2p}^1 & \cdots & \alpha_{2p}^p \\ \Gamma(p+1,1) & \cdots & \Gamma(p+1,p) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \Gamma(2p-1,1) & \cdots & \Gamma(2p-1,p) \\ \alpha_{2p+1}^1 & \cdots & \alpha_{2p+1}^p \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n_z}^1 & \cdots & \alpha_{n_z}^p \\ \Gamma(n_z,1) & \cdots & \Gamma(n_z,p) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Gamma(i,j) = \left[M_i A - \sum_{k=p+1}^{2p} \gamma_i^k M_k\right]_{Lj},$$
$$\Gamma(n_z,j) = \left[M_{n_z} A - \sum_{k=p+1}^{n_z} \gamma_{n_z}^k M_k\right]_{Lj}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$\Gamma_s^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta^1_{(p+1)s} & \dots & \beta^p_{(p+1)s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots \\ \beta^1_{(2p)s} & \dots & \beta^p_{(2p)s} \\ \Gamma^1_s(p+1,1) & \dots & \Gamma^1_s(p+1,p) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Gamma^1_s(2p-1,1) & \dots & \Gamma^1_s(2p-1,p) \\ \beta^1_{(2p+1)s} & \dots & \beta^p_{(2p+1)s} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \beta^1_{n_z s} & \dots & \beta^p_{n_z s} \\ \Gamma^1_s(n_z,1) & \dots & \Gamma^1_s(n_z,p) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Gamma^1_s(i,j) = \Big[M_i A_s + H_{is}A - \sum_{k=p+1}^{2p} \gamma_i^k H_{ks}\Big]_{Lj},$$

$$\Gamma^1_s(n_z,j) = \Big[M_{n_z} A_s + H_{n_z s}A - \sum_{k=p+1}^{n_z} \gamma_{n_z}^k H_{ks}\Big]_{Lj},$$

$$\Gamma_s^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,1} & \dots & 0_{p,1} \\ \Big[H_{(p+1)s}A_s\Big]_{L1} & \dots & \Big[H_{(p+1)s}A_s\Big]_{Lp} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \Big[H_{(2p-1)s}A_s\Big]_{L1} & \dots & \Big[H_{(2p-1)s}A_s\Big]_{Lp} \\ \Gamma^2_s(2p,1) & \dots & \Gamma^2_s(2p,p) \\ \Gamma^2_s(2p+1,1) & \dots & \Gamma^2_s(2p+1,p) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \Gamma^2_s(n_z-1,1) & \dots & \Gamma^2_s(n_z-1,p) \\ \Gamma^2_s(n_z,1) & \dots & \Gamma^2_s(n_z,p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma^2_s(2p,j) = \Big[H_{(2p)s}A_s - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(2p+1)s} M_k\Big]_{Lj},$$

$$\Gamma^2_s(i,j) = \Big[H_{is}A_s + \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{is} M_k\Big)A - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(i+1)s} M_k\Big]_{Lj},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^2_s(n_z,j) = \Big[&H_{n_z s}A_s + \Big(\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{n_z s} M_k\Big)A \\ &-\gamma_{n_z}^{2p+1}\Big(\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(2p+1)s} M_k\Big) - \dots \\ &-\gamma_{n_z}^{n_z}\Big(\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{n_z s} M_k\Big)\Big]_{Lj}, \\ &j = 1,2,\dots,p,\end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_s^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,1} \\ \Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(2p+1)s} M_k\Big)A_s\Big]_{Lj} \\ \vdots \\ \Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{n_z s} M_k\Big)A_s\Big]_{Lj} \end{bmatrix}_{j=\overline{1,p}},$$

$$\Gamma^{12}_{s\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,p} \\ \Big[H_{(p+1)s}A_\ell\Big]_L \\ \Big[H_{(p+2)s}A_\ell\Big]_L \\ \vdots \\ \Big[H_{n_z s}A_\ell\Big]_L \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma^{21}_{s\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,p} \\ \Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(2p+1)s} M_k\Big)A_\ell\Big]_L \\ \Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{(2p+2)s} M_k\Big)A_\ell\Big]_L \\ \vdots \\ \Big[\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \nu^k_{n_z s} M_k\Big)A_\ell\Big]_L \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. By taking the derivatives of (12), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}&\dot{z}_i(t) = M_i\dot{x}(t) \\ &= M_i(Ax(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} A_s x(t-\tau_s). \\ &+Bu(t) + B_d d(t) + B_f f(t)) \\ &= M_i Ax(t) + M_i \sum_{s=1}^{q} A_s x(t-\tau_s) \\ &+M_i Bu(t) + M_i B_d d(t) + M_i B_f f(t) \\ &= z_{i+p}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha^j_{i+p} y_j(t) + \\ &+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta^j_{(i+p)s} y_j(t-\tau_s) \\ &+M_i Bu(t) + M_i B_d d(t) \\ &+M_i B_f f(t), i = 1,\dots,p, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\dot{z}_i(t) = M_i\dot{x}(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}\dot{x}(t-\tau_s) \\ &= M_i Ax(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q}(M_i A_s + H_{is}A) \\ &\times x(t-\tau_s) + M_i Bu(t) \\ &+M_i B_d d(t) + M_i B_f f(t) \\ &+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}A_s x(t-2\tau_s) \\ &+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}\Big[\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} A_\ell x(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\Big]\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}Bu(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_d d(t-\tau_s)+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_f f(t-\tau_s)$$
$$=\sum_{j=p+1}^{2p}\gamma_i^j z_j(t)+\Big[M_iA-\sum_{j=p+1}^{2p}\gamma_i^j M_j\Big]_L$$
$$\times[y_1(t)\quad y_2(t)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t)]^T$$
$$+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q} M_iA_s+H_{is}A-\sum_{j=p+1}^{2p}\gamma_i^j H_{js}\Big]_L$$
$$\times[y_1(t-\tau_s)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t-\tau_s)]^T$$
$$+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}A_s\Big]_L[y_1(t-2\tau_s)\dots\ y_p(t-2\tau_s)]^T$$
$$+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} H_{is}A_\ell\Big]_L[y_1(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)$$
$$y_2(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T$$
$$+M_iBu(t)+M_iB_dd(t)+M_iB_ff(t)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}Bu(t-\tau_s)+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_dd(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_ff(t-\tau_s),$$
$$i=p+1,\dots,2p-1, \tag{24}$$

$$\dot z_{2p}(t)=M_{2p}\dot x(t)+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}\dot x(t-\tau_s)$$
$$=z_{2p+1}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\alpha_{2p+1}^j y_j(t)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{p}\beta_{(2p+1)s}^j y_j(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}A_s-\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{(2p+1)s}^j M_j\Big)\Big]_L$$
$$\times[y_1(t-2\tau_s)\ \dots\ y_p(t-2\tau_s)]^T$$
$$+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}A_\ell\Big]_L[y_1(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)$$
$$\dots y_p(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T$$
$$+M_{2p}Bu(t)+M_{2p}B_dd(t)+M_{2p}B_ff(t)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}Bu(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}B_dd(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{(2p)s}B_ff(t-\tau_s), \tag{25}$$

$$\dot z_i(t)=M_i\dot x(t)+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}\dot x(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)\dot x(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$=M_iAx(t)+\sum_{s=1}^{q}(M_iA_s+H_{is}A)x(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}(H_{is}A_s+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j)Ax(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)A_sx(t-3\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}\Big[\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} A_\ell x(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\Big]$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)\Big[\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} A_\ell x(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\Big]$$
$$+M_iBu(t)+M_iB_dd(t)+M_iB_ff(t)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}Bu(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_dd(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} H_{is}B_ff(t-\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)Bu(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)B_dd(t-2\tau_s)$$
$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{is}^j M_j\Big)B_ff(t-2\tau_s). \tag{26}$$

From (10)-(11), we have for $i=2p,\ 2p+1,\dots,n_z-1$,

$$M_iAx(t)=M_{i+1}x(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\alpha_{i+1}^j y_j(t), \tag{27}$$

$$(M_iA_s + H_{is}A)x(t-\tau_s) = H_{(i+1)s}x(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{j=1}^{p}\beta^j_{(j+1)s}y_j(t-\tau_s),\ s=1,2,\dots,q. \quad (28)$$

Substituting (27)-(28) into (26) and using (15) - (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{z}_i(t) &= z_{i+1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{p}\alpha^j_{i+1}y_j(t) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{p}\beta^j_{(i+1)s}y_j(t-\tau_s) + \Bigg[\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{is}A_s \\
&+ \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big)A - \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{(i+1)s}M_j\Big)\Bigg]_L \\
&[y_1(t-2\tau_s) \quad \dots \quad y_p(t-2\tau_s)]^T \\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big)A_s\Big]_L[y_1(t-3\tau_s) \\
&y_2(t-3\tau_s) \quad \dots \quad y_p(t-3\tau_s)]^T \\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}H_{is}A_\ell\Big]_L[y_1(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell) \\
&y_2(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell) \quad \dots \quad y_p(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T \\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big)A_\ell\Big]_L \\
&\times[y_1(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell) \quad \dots \quad y_p(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T \\
&+M_iBu(t) + M_iB_dd(t) + M_iB_ff(t) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{is}Bu(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{is}B_dd(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{is}B_ff(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big) \\
&Bu(t-2\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big)B_dd(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{is}M_j\Big)B_ff(t-2\tau_s), \\
&i = 2p+1,\dots,n_z-1. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

From (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{z}_{n_z}(t) &= M_{n_z}Ax(t) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}(M_{n_z}A_s + H_{n_zs}A)x(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}(H_{n_zs}A_s + \sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j)A)x(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)A_sx(t-3\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}\Big[\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}A_\ell x(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\Big] \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)\Big[\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}A_\ell x(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\Big] \\
&+M_{n_z}Bu(t) + M_{n_z}B_dd(t) + M_{n_z}B_ff(t) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}Bu(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}B_dd(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}B_ff(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)Bu(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)B_dd(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)B_ff(t-2\tau_s). \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

From conditions (19) - (20), equation (30) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{z}_{n_z}(t) &= \sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z}\gamma^j_{n_z}z_j(t) + M_{n_z}Bu(t) \\
&+M_{n_z}B_dd(t) + M_{n_z}B_ff(t) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}Bu(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}B_dd(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}B_ff(t-\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)Bu(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)B_dd(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu^j_{n_zs}M_j\Big)B_ff(t-2\tau_s)
\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\Big[M_{n_z}A-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z}\gamma_{n_z}^{j}M_j\Big]_L[y_1(t)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t)]^T\\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}M_{n_z}A_s+H_{n_zs}A-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z}\gamma_{n_z}^{j}H_{js}\Big]_L\\
&[y_1(t-\tau_s)\quad y_2(t-\tau_s)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t-\tau_s)]^T\\
&+\Bigg[\sum_{s=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}A_s+\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{n_zs}^{j}M_j\Big)A\\
&-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n_z}\gamma_{n_z}^{j}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p}\nu_{js}^{k}M_k\Big)\Bigg]_L\\
&\times[y_1(t-2\tau_s)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t-2\tau_s)]^T\\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{n_zs}^{j}M_j\Big)A_s\Big]_L[y_1(t-3\tau_s)\\
&\dots\quad y_p(t-3\tau_s)]^T\\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}H_{n_zs}A_\ell\Big]_L[y_1(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\\
&y_2(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\dots\quad y_p(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T\\
&+\Big[\sum_{s=1}^{q}\sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\nu_{n_zs}^{j}M_j\Big)A_\ell\Big]_L\\
&[y_1(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell)\quad\dots\quad y_p(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell)]^T.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, (23)-(25), (29) and (31) can now be expressed in the form (21)-(22). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

In the following, we will solve unknowns in Theorem 2.1. For this, we first express (13)-(18) into the following compact form:

$$\chi_{n_z}X_{n_z}=Y_{n_z},\tag{31}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}&=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z}^{1}&\chi_{n_z}^{2}&\dots&\chi_{n_z}^{p+2}\end{bmatrix},\\
X_{n_z}&=\begin{bmatrix}X_{n_z}^{1}&X_{n_z}^{2}&\dots&X_{n_z}^{p+2}\end{bmatrix}^T,\\
Y_{n_z}&=\begin{bmatrix}Y_{n_z}^{1}&\dots&Y_{n_z}^{p+q-1}&Y_{n_z}^{p+q}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $\chi_{n_z}^{i}$, $X_{n_z}^{i}$ $(i=1,2,\dots,p+2)$ are as defined below

$$\chi_{n_z}^{1}=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z}^{11}&\chi_{n_z}^{12}\end{bmatrix},\tag{32}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{11}&=\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_{p+1}^{1}&\dots&\alpha_{p+1}^{p}\\ \gamma_{p+1}^{p+1}&\dots&\gamma_{p+1}^{2p}\end{bmatrix},\\
\chi_{n_z}^{12}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{(p+1)1}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(p+1)1}^{p}&\beta_{(p+1)2}^{1}&\dots\\ \beta_{(p+1)2}^{p}\dots&\beta_{(p+1)q}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(p+1)q}^{p}\end{bmatrix},\\
\chi_{n_z}^{2}&=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z}^{21}&\chi_{n_z}^{22}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{33}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{21}&=\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_{p+2}^{1}&\dots&\alpha_{p+2}^{p}\\ \dots&\gamma_{p+2}^{p+1}&\dots&\gamma_{p+2}^{2p}\end{bmatrix},\\
\chi_{n_z}^{12}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{(p+2)1}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(p+2)1}^{p}&\dots\\ \beta_{(p+2)q}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(p+2)q}^{p}\end{bmatrix},\\
&\vdots\\
\chi_{n_z}^{p-1}&=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z}^{(p-1)1}&\chi_{n_z}^{(p-1)2}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{34}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{(p-1)1}&=\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_{2p-1}^{1}&\dots&\alpha_{2p-1}^{p}\\ \gamma_{2p-1}^{p+1}&\dots&\gamma_{2p-1}^{2p}\end{bmatrix},\\
\chi_{n_z}^{(p-1)2}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{(2p-1)1}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(2p-1)1}^{p}\\ \dots&&\beta_{(2p-1)q}^{1}\\ \dots&\beta_{(2p-1)q}^{p}&\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{p}&=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z}^{p(2p)}&\chi_{n_z}^{p(2p+1)}&\dots&\chi_{n_z}^{pn_z}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{35}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{p(2p)}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{(2p)1}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{(2p)1}^{p}\\ \dots&\beta_{(2p)q}^{1}&\\ \dots&\beta_{(2p)q}^{p}&\end{bmatrix},\\
\chi_{n_z}^{p(2p+1)}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{(2p+1)1}^{1}&\dots&\\ \beta_{(2p+1)1}^{p}&&\\ \dots&\beta_{(2p+1)q}^{1}\dots&\\ \beta_{(2p+1)q}^{p}&&\end{bmatrix},\\
&\vdots\\
\chi_{n_z}^{pn_z}&=\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{n_z1}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{n_z1}^{p}&\\ &\dots&\beta_{n_zq}^{1}&\dots&\beta_{n_zq}^{p}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{p+1}&=\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_{2p}^{1}&\alpha_{2p}^{2}&\dots\\ \alpha_{2p}^{p}&\dots&\alpha_{n_z-1}^{1}\\ \alpha_{n_z-1}^{2}&\dots&\alpha_{n_z-1}^{p}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{36}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{n_z}^{p+2}&=\begin{bmatrix}\chi_{n_z(2p+1)}^{p+2}&&\\ \chi_{n_z(2p+2)}^{p+2}&\dots&\chi_{n_zn_z}^{p+2}\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}\tag{37}
$$

$$\chi^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu^1_{(2p+1)1} & \nu^2_{(2p+1)1} \\ \cdots & \nu^1_{(2p+1)q} \\ \cdots & \nu^p_{(2p+1)q} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\chi^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu^1_{(2p+2)1} & \nu^2_{(2p+2)1} \\ \cdots & \nu^p_{(2p+2)1} \\ \cdots & \nu^1_{(2p+2)q} \\ \cdots & \nu^p_{(2p+2)q} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\chi^{p+2}_{n_z n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu^1_{n_z 1} & \nu^2_{n_z 1} & \cdots & \nu^p_{n_z 1} & \cdots \\ \nu^1_{n_z q} & \nu^2_{n_z q} & \cdots & \nu^p_{n_z q} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^1_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} X^1_{n_z 1} & X^1_{n_z 2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{38}$$

$$X^1_{n_z 1} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{11}_{n_z} & 0_{p(q+2),\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \\ \cdots & 0_{p(q+2),\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^1_{n_z 2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{11}_{n_z 2} & X^{12_2}_{n_z 2} & \cdots & X^{12_q}_{n_z 2} \\ 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p)q^2(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{11}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)q(n-p)},$$

$$X^{12_2}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)(q-1)(n-p)},$$

$$\ldots,$$

$$X^{12_q}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)(n-p)},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X^{p-1}_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{p-1}_{n_z 1} & X^{p-1}_{n_z 2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{39}$$

$$X^{p-1}_{n_z 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p(q+2),\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \\ 0_{p(q+2),\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} & \cdots & X^{11}_{n_z} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p-1}_{n_z 2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(p-1)1}_{n_z 2} & X^{(p-1)2_2}_{n_z 2} & \cdots \\ X^{(p-1)2_q}_{n_z 2} & 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p)q^2(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{(p-1)1}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)q(n-p)},$$

$$X^{(p-1)2_2}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)(q-1)(n-p)},$$

$$\ldots,$$

$$X^{(p-1)2_q}_{n_z 2} = 0_{p(q+2),(n_z-2p+1)(n-p)},$$

$$X^p_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} X^p_{n_z 1} & X^p_{n_z 2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{40}$$

$$X^p_{n_z 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{(n_z-2p+1)pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \\ 0_{(n_z-2p+1)pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} & \cdots \\ 0_{(n_z-2p+1)pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^p_{n_z 2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{pp}_{n_z} & X^{p(p+1)_2}_{n_z} & \cdots & X^{p(p+1)_q}_{n_z} \\ 0_{(n_z-2p+1)pq,(n_z-2p)q^2(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p+1}_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{p+1}_{n_z 1} & X^{p+1}_{n_z 2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

$$X^{p+1}_{n_z 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{(n_z-2p)p,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} & \cdots \\ 0_{(n_z-2p)p,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p+1}_{n_z 2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(p+1)p}_{n_z} & X^{(p+1)(p+1)_2}_{n_z} & \cdots \\ X^{(p+1)(p+1)_q}_{n_z} & 0_{(n_z-2p)p,(n_z-2p)q^2(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+1)1} & X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+1)2} \\ X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+2)1} & X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+2)2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X^{p+2}_{n_z n_z 1} & X^{p+2}_{n_z n_z 2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+1)1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \\ \cdots & 0_{pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z n_z 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} & , \\ \cdots & 0_{pq,\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+1)2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(p+2)p}_{n_z(2p+1)2} & , \\ \cdots & X^{(p+2)(p+1)_q}_{n_z(2p+1)2} \quad X^{(p+2)(p+2)}_{n_z(2p+1)2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z(2p+2)2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{(p+3)p}_{n_z(2p+2)2} & X^{(p+3)(p+1)_2}_{n_z(2p+2)2} \\ \cdots & X^{(p+3)(p+1)_q}_{n_z(2p+2)2} \quad X^{(p+3)(p+2)}_{n_z(2p+2)2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X^{p+2}_{n_z n_z 2} = \begin{bmatrix} X^{np}_{n_z n_z 2} & X^{n(p+1)_2}_{n_z n_z 2} & , \\ \cdots & X^{n(p+1)_q}_{n_z n_z 2} \quad X^{n(p+2)}_{n_z n_z 2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$X^{11}_{n_z} \in \mathbb{R}^{p(q+2)\times\frac{(q+2)(q+1)}{2}(n-p)},$$

$$X^{i(p+1)_\ell}_{n_z j 2} = 0_{pq,(n_z-2p+1)(q-\ell+1)(n-p)},$$

$$i = p+2,\ldots,n,\ j = 2p+1,\ldots,n_z,$$

$$\ell = 2,3,\ldots,q,$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$X_{n_z}^{pp} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_z-2p+1)pq\times(n_z-2p+1)q(n-p)},$$
$$X_{n_z}^{p(p+1)_\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_z-2p+1)pq\times(n_z-2p+1)(q-\ell+1)(n-p)},$$
$$\ell = 2,3,\ldots,q,$$
$$X_{n_z}^{(p+1)(p+1)_\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_z-2p)p\times(n_z-2p+1)(q-\ell+1)(n-p)},$$
$$\ell = 2,3,\ldots,q,$$
$$X_{n_z}^{(p+1)p} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_z-2p)p\times(n_z-2p+1)q(n-p)},$$
$$X_{n_zj2}^{ip} \in \mathbb{R}^{pq\times(n_z-2p+1)q(n-p)},$$
$$i = p+2,\ldots,n,\ j = 2p+1,\ldots,n_z,$$
$$X_{n_zj2}^{i(p+2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{pq\times(n_z-2p)q^2(n-p)},$$
$$i = p+2,\ldots,n,\ j = 2p+1,\ldots,n_z$$

are defined as below

$$X_{n_z}^{11} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z1}^{11} & X_{n_z2}^{11} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$X_{n_z1}^{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum & 0_{2p,q(n-p)} & 0_{2p,(q-1)(n-p)} \\ \Delta & \Omega^1 & \Phi_1^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2p,(q-2)(n-p)} & \cdots & 0_{2p,n-p} \\ \Phi_2^1 & \cdots & \Phi_{q-1}^1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_{n_z}^{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z1}^{pp} & X_{n_z2}^{pp} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where

$$X_{n_z1}^{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega^1 & \Omega^2 \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & \Omega^1 \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega^3 & \ldots & \Omega^{n_z-2p+1} \\ \Omega^2 & \ldots & \Omega^{n_z-2p} \\ \Omega^1 & \ldots & \Omega^{n_z-2p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & \ldots & \Omega^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z}^{p(p+1)_l} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z1}^{p(p+1)_l} & X_{n_z2}^{p(p+1)_l} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where

$$X_{n_z1}^{p(p+1)_l} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{\ell-1}^1 & \Phi_{\ell-1}^2 \\ 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & \Phi_{\ell-1}^1 \\ 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{p(p+1)_l} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{\ell-1}^3 & \cdots & \Phi_{\ell-1}^{n_z-2p+1} \\ \Phi_{\ell-1}^2 & \cdots & \Phi_{\ell-1}^{n_z-2p} \\ \Phi_{\ell-1}^1 & \cdots & \Phi_{\ell-1}^{n_z-2p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & \cdots & \Phi_{\ell-1}^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+1)p} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z1}^{(p+1)p} & X_{n_z2}^{(p+1)p} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$X_{n_z1}^{(p+1)p} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,q(n-p)} & \Xi^1 \\ 0_{p,q(n-p)} & 0_{p,q(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{p,q(n-p)} & 0_{p,q(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{(p+1)p} = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi^2 & \ldots & \Xi^{n_z-2p} \\ \Xi^1 & \ldots & \Xi^{n_z-2p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{p,q(n-p)} & \ldots & \Xi^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+1)(p+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z1}^{(p+1)(p+1)} & X_{n_z2}^{(p+1)(p+1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$X_{n_z1}^{(p+1)(p+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & \Psi_{\ell-1}^1 \\ 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{(p+1)(p+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{\ell-1}^2 & \cdots & \Psi_{\ell-1}^{n_z-2p} \\ \Psi_{\ell-1}^1 & \cdots & \Psi_{\ell-1}^{n_z-2p-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{p,(q-\ell+1)(n-p)} & \cdots & \Psi_{\ell-1}^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+2)p} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_{n_z1}^{(p+2)p} & X_{n_z2}^{(p+2)p} \end{array}\right], \quad (48)$$

where

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+2)p} = \left[\begin{array}{c} X_{n_z(2p+1)2}^{(p+2)p} \\ X_{n_z(2p+2)2}^{(p+3)p} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n_zn_z2}^{np} \end{array}\right]$$

$$X_{n_z1}^{(p+2)p} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & \nabla \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \end{array}\right],$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{(p+2)p} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & \cdots & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \\ \nabla & \cdots & 0_{pq,q(n-p)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,q(n-p)} & \cdots & \nabla \end{array}\right],$$

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+2)(p+2)} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_{n_z1}^{(p+2)(p+2)} & X_{n_z2}^{(p+2)(p+2)} \end{array}\right], \quad (49)$$

$$X_{n_z}^{(p+2)(p+2)} = \left[\begin{array}{c} X_{n_z(2p+1)2}^{(p+2)(p+2)} \\ X_{n_z(2p+2)2}^{(p+3)(p+2)} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n_zn_z2}^{n(p+2)} \end{array}\right],$$

$$X_{n_z1}^{(p+2)(p+2)} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \Pi & 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} \\ 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} & \Pi \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} & 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} \end{array}\right],$$

$$X_{n_z2}^{(p+2)(p+2)} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cdots & 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} \\ \cdots & 0_{pq,q^2(n-p)} \\ \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \Pi \end{array}\right].$$

In (32), $Y_{n_z}^i \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(2q+1+\frac{q(q-1)}{2})(n-p)}$ $(i = 1,2,\ldots,p-1)$, $Y_{n_z}^p \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times((n-p)^2q}$, $Y_{n_z}^{p+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(n-p)^2(q-1)}$, $Y_{n_z}^{p+2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(n-p)^2(q-2)}$, ..., $Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1\times(n-p)^2}$, $Y_{n_z}^{p+q} = 0_{1,q^2(n_z-2p)(n-p)}$ are defined as follows

$$Y_{n_z}^i = \left[\begin{array}{cc} Y_{n_z1}^i & Y_{n_z2}^i \end{array}\right], \quad (50)$$

where

$$Y_{n_z1}^i = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} X_i^2 & \bar{Y}_{i1}^1 & \ldots & \bar{Y}_{iq}^1 \\ Y_{i1}^1 & \ldots & Y_{iq}^1 & \end{array}\right]$$

$$Y_{n_z2}^i = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{i,12}^1 & \cdots & Z_{i,1q}^1 \\ Z_{i,23}^1 & \ldots & Z_{i,(q-1)q}^1 \end{array}\right],$$

for $i = 1,2,\ldots,p-1$,

$$Y_{n_z}^p = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{n_z}^{p1} & Y_{n_z}^{p2} & \ldots & Y_{n_z}^{p(n-p)} \end{array}\right], \quad (51)$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p1} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{p1}^1 & Y_{p2}^1 & \ldots & Y_{pq}^1 \end{array}\right],$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p2} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{p1}^2 & Y_{p2}^2 & \ldots & Y_{pq}^2 \end{array}\right],$$

$$\vdots$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p(n-p)} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{p1}^{n-p} & Y_{p2}^{n-p} & \ldots & Y_{pq}^{n-p} \end{array}\right],$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p+1} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{n_z}^{(p+1)1} & \ldots & Y_{n_z}^{(p+1)(n-p)} \end{array}\right], \quad (52)$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+1)1} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{p,12}^1 & \cdots & Z_{p,1q}^1 \end{array}\right],$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+1)2} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{p,12}^2 & \cdots & Z_{p,1q}^2 \end{array}\right],$$

$$\vdots$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+1)(n-p)} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{p,12}^{n-p} & \cdots & Z_{p,1q}^{n-p} \end{array}\right],$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p+2} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{n_z}^{(p+2)1} & \ldots & Y_{n_z}^{(p+2)(n-p)} \end{array}\right], \quad (53)$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+2)1} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Z_{p,23}^1 & Z_{p,24}^1 & \cdots & Z_{p,2q}^1 \end{array}\right],$$

$$\vdots$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+2)(n-p)} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{p,23}^{n-p} & \ldots & Z_{p,2q}^{n-p} \end{array}\right],$$

$$\vdots$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{p+q-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)1} & \ldots & Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)(n-p)} \end{array}\right], \quad (54)$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)1} = Z_{p,(q-1)q}^1,$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)2} = Z_{p,(q-1)q}^2,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$Y_{n_z}^{(p+q-1)(n-p)} = Z_{p,(q-1)q}^{n-p}.$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

From (31), a solution for χ_{n_z} exists if and only if

$$\text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z} \\ Y_{n_z} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} X_{n_z} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Next, we express (19)-(20) into the following form

$$\zeta_{n_z} Z_{n_z} = T_{n_z}, \tag{56}$$

where

$$\zeta_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{n_z}^1 & \dots & \alpha_{n_z}^p & \gamma_{n_z}^{p+1} & \dots & \gamma_{n_z}^{n_z} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{57}$$

$$Z_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{n_z1} & Z_{n_z2} & Z_{nz3} & \dots & Z_{nz4} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{58}$$

$$Z_{n_z1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ Z_{n_z}^1(2p+1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^1(2p+2, n-p) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n_z}^1(n_z-1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^1(n_z, n-p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_{n_z2} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{X}}_1^1 \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}}_1^1 \\ Z_{n_z}^2(2p+1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^2(2p+2, n-p) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n_z}^2(n_z-1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^2(n_z, n-p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_{n_z3} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{X}}_2^1 \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}}_2^1 \\ Z_{n_z}^3(2p+1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^3(2p+2, n-p) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n_z}^3(n_z-1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^3(n_z, n-p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Z_{n_z4} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{X}}_q^1 \\ \overline{\mathcal{X}}_q^1 \\ Z_{n_z}^{q+1}(2p+1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^{q+1}(2p+2, n-p) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{n_z}^{q+1}(n_z-1, n-p) \\ Z_{n_z}^{q+1}(n_z, n-p) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_{n_z} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{n_z}^1 & T_{n_z}^2 & T_{n_z}^3 & \dots & T_{n_z}^{q+1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{59}$$

In (58)-(59), $T_{n_z}^s$ and $Z_{n_z}^s(k, n-1)$ $(s = 2, 3, \dots, q+1)$, $(k = 2p+1, 2p+2, \dots, n_z)$ are defined as follows

$$T_{n_z}^1 = X_p^{n_z-2p+2} - \sum_{j=1}^{p}\Big(\alpha_{2p}^j X_j^{n_z-2p+1} + \alpha_{2p+1}^j X_j^{n_z-2p} + \dots + \alpha_{n_z-2}^j X_j^3 + \alpha_{n_z-1}^j X_j^2\Big), \tag{60}$$

$$T_{n_z}^s = \bar{Y}_{p(s-1)}^{n_z-2p+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p}\Big(\alpha_{2p}^j \bar{Y}_{j(s-1)}^{n_z-2p} + \alpha_{2p+1}^j \bar{Y}_{j(s-1)}^{n_z-2p-1} + \dots + \alpha_{n_z-1}^j \bar{Y}_{j(s-1)}^1 + \beta_{(2p+1)(s-1)}^j X_j^{n_z-2p} + \dots + \beta_{n_z(s-1)}^j X_j^1\Big), \tag{61}$$

$$Z_{n_z}^1(k, n-p) = X_p^{k-2p+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p}\Big(\alpha_{2p}^j X_j^{k-2p} + \alpha_{2p+1}^j X_j^{k-2p-1} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}^j X_j^1\Big), \tag{62}$$

$$Z_{n_z}^s(k, n-p) = \bar{Y}_{p(s-1)}^{k-2p} - \sum_{j=1}^{p}\Big(\alpha_{2p}^j \bar{Y}_{j(s-1)}^{k-2p-1} + \alpha_{2p+1}^j \bar{Y}_{j(s-1)}^{k-2p-2} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}^j \bar{X}_{j(s-1)}^1 + \beta_{(2p+1)(s-1)}^j X_j^{k-2p-1} + \dots + \beta_{(k-1)(s-1)}^j X_j^1\Big). \tag{63}$$

Since Z_{n_z} and T_{n_z} are two known constant matrices, it follows form (56) that, a solution for

ζ_{n_z} always exists if and only if

$$\text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} Z_{n_z} \\ T_{n_z} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} Z_{n_z} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (64)$$

Algorithm 1

Step 1: Check if condition (55) is satisfied or not. If so, obtain $\chi_{n_z} = Y_{n_z} X_{n_z}^{+}$.

Step 2: Substitute β_{ks}^{j} and α_{ℓ}^{j} into (58)-(59) and obtain Z_{n_z} and T_{n_z}. Check if condition (64) is satisfied or not. If so, obtain $\zeta_{n_z} = T_{n_z} Z_{n_z}^{+}$.

Step 4: From (7)-(11), obtain the state transformation (12) and (21)-(22).

3. THE DESIGN OF FAULT ESTIMATOR

Consider the following observer-based fault detection filter

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\hat{z}}(t) &= \mathcal{A}\hat{z}(t) + \mathcal{B}u(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_s^1 u(t-\tau_s) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_s^2 u(t-2\tau_s) + \Gamma y(t) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^1 y(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^2 y(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \Gamma_s^3 y(t-3\tau_s) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} \Gamma_{s\ell}^{12} y(t-\tau_s-\tau_\ell) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \sum_{s\neq\ell=1}^{q} \Gamma_{s\ell}^{21} y(t-2\tau_s-\tau_\ell) \\
&+ L(y(t)-\hat{y}(t)),\ t \geqslant 3\tau, \quad (65) \\
\hat{y}(t) &= \mathcal{C}\hat{z}(t), \quad (66) \\
\hat{f}(t) &= V(y(t)-\hat{y}(t)), \quad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$, $\hat{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, $\hat{f}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_f}$ are the state, output and fault estimation vectors, respectively; L and V are observer gain matrices to be determined. Define

$$e(t) = z(t) - \hat{z}(t),\ r(t) = \hat{f}(t) - f(t).$$

We have the following estimation error system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{e}(t) &= (\mathcal{A}-L\mathcal{C})e(t) + \mathcal{B}_d d(t) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{d_s}^1 d(t-\tau_s) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{d_s}^2 d(t-2\tau_s) \\
&+ \mathcal{B}_f f(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{f_s}^1 f(t-\tau_s) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^{q} \mathcal{B}_{f_s}^2 f(t-2\tau_s) \\
&- LD_d d(t) - LD_f f(t), \quad (68) \\
r(t) &= V\mathcal{C}e(t) + VD_d d(t) \\
&+ (VD_f - I)f(t). \quad (69)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now denote

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{A} = \mathcal{A} - L\mathcal{C},\ \tilde{C} = V\mathcal{C}, \\
&\overline{B}_d = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_d & \mathcal{B}_{d_1}^1 & \dots & \mathcal{B}_{d_q}^1 & \mathcal{B}_{d_1}^2 & \dots & \mathcal{B}_{d_q}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\overline{B}_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}_f & \mathcal{B}_{f_1}^1 & \dots & \mathcal{B}_{f_q}^1 & \mathcal{B}_{f_1}^2 & \dots & \mathcal{B}_{f_q}^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\overline{I}_f = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\overline{D}_d = \begin{bmatrix} D_d & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\overline{D}_f = \begin{bmatrix} D_f & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
&\tilde{B}_d = \overline{B}_d - L\overline{D}_d, \tilde{B}_f = \overline{B}_f - L\overline{D}_f, \\
&\tilde{D}_d = V\overline{D}_d, \tilde{D}_f = V\overline{D}_f - \overline{I}_f, \\
&\bar{d}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} d(t) & d(t-\tau_1) & \dots & d(t-\tau_q) \\ & d(t-2\tau_1) & \dots & d(t-2\tau_q) \end{bmatrix}^T \\
&\bar{f}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} f(t) & f(t-\tau_1) & \dots & f(t-\tau_q) \\ & f(t-2\tau_1) & \dots & f(t-2\tau_q) \end{bmatrix}^T
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can rewrite system (68)-(69) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{e}(t) &= \tilde{A}e(t) + \tilde{B}_d\bar{d}(t) + \tilde{B}_f\bar{f}(t), \quad (70) \\
r(t) &= \tilde{C}e(t) + \tilde{D}_d\bar{d}(t) + \tilde{D}_f\bar{f}(t). \quad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following development, we will design an H_∞ fault estimatior, i.e. to find matrices L and V such that the error system (70)-(71) with $\bar{d}(t) = 0$ and $\bar{f}(t) = 0$ is asymptotically stable and, for given $\gamma > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ the following performance is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
||G_{r\bar{f}}||_\infty^{[-\bar{w},\bar{w}]} &< \gamma, \quad (72) \\
||G_{r\bar{d}}||_\infty &< \lambda, \quad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

where $G_{r\bar{f}}(s) = \tilde{C}(sI - \tilde{A})^{-1}\tilde{B}_f + \tilde{D}_f$ and $G_{r\bar{d}}(s) = \tilde{C}(sI - \tilde{A})^{-1}\tilde{B}_d + \tilde{D}_d$. Since $||\bar{d}(t)||_{L_2} = \sqrt{2q+1}||d(t)||_{L_2}$ and $||\bar{f}(t)||_{L_2} = \sqrt{2q+1}||f(t)||_{L_2}$. Note that, $||G_{r\bar{f}}||_\infty^{[-\bar{w},\bar{w}]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q+1}}||G_{rf}||_\infty^{[-\bar{w},\bar{w}]}$ and $||G_{r\bar{d}}||_\infty = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q+1}}||G_{rd}||_\infty$.

The following lemmas are essential for the proof of our results.

Lemma 3.1. *Consider a transfer function matrix $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$. Letting a symmetric Π be given, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) The finite frequency inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(iw) \\ I \end{bmatrix}^* \Pi \begin{bmatrix} G(iw) \\ I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \ \forall |w| \leqslant \bar{w}. \quad (74)$$

(b) There exists Hermitian matrices $Q > 0$, P of appropriate dimmension such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} -Q & P \\ P & \bar{w}^2 Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T \Pi \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (75)$$

Lemma 3.2. *Consider a transfer function matrix $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$. Letting a symmetric Π be given, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) The infinite frequency inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} G(iw) \\ I \end{bmatrix}^* \prod \begin{bmatrix} G(iw) \\ I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (76)$$

(b) There exists a Hermitian matrix $P > 0$ of appropriate dimmension such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T \Pi \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (77)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Given a symmetric matrix Φ and two matrices Γ and Λ, there exists a decision matrix X, that satisfies*

$$\Phi + \Gamma X \Lambda + (\Gamma X \Lambda)^T < 0 \quad (78)$$

if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\Gamma^{\perp}\Phi{\Gamma^{\perp}}^T < 0, \quad {\Gamma^T}^{\perp}\Phi{\Gamma^T}^{\perp^T} < 0. \quad (79)$$

Theorem 3.1. *For given matrix $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, scalars $\gamma > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\bar{w} > 0$, the error system (70)-(71) is aymptotically stable and performances (72)-(73) hold if there exist Hermitian matrices $Q > 0$, P, real matrices $W > 0$, X and V with appropriate dimmensions such that the following LMIs hold*

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (80)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_3 & Q_4 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (81)$$

where

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -Q & P - W \\ * & \Omega \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Omega = \bar{w}^2 Q + \mathcal{A}^T W + W\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}^T X^T - X\mathcal{C}T$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W\overline{B}_f - X\overline{D}_f & \mathcal{C}^T V^T \\ -\gamma^2 I & \overline{D}_f^T V^T - \overline{I}_f^T \\ * & -I \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^T W + W\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{C}^T X^T - X\mathcal{C} \\ * \\ * \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_4 = \begin{bmatrix} W\overline{B}_d - X\overline{D}_d & \mathcal{C}^T V^T \\ \overline{D}_d^T V^T & -\lambda^2 I \\ * & -I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We first prove that performance (72) is satisfied if LMI (80) holds. Applying Lemma 3.1 and letting $\Pi = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix}$, then inequality (72) becomces

$$G_{r\bar{f}}(iw)^* G_{r\bar{f}}(iw) - \gamma^2 I < 0, \ \forall |w| \leqslant \bar{w}.$$

That means performance (72) is satisfied if and only if there exists $n_z \times n_z$ Hermitian matrices $Q > 0$, P such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B}_f \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} -Q & P \\ P & \bar{w}^2 Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B}_f \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_f \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T \Pi \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_f \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (82)$$

By denoting $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}^T & I & 0 \\ \tilde{B}^T & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} -Q & P & 0 \\ P & \bar{w}^2 Q + \tilde{C}^T \tilde{C} & \tilde{C}^T \tilde{D}_f \\ 0 & \tilde{D}_f^T \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_f^T \tilde{D}_f - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix},$$

(82) can be written as

$$Y \Phi Y^T < 0. \quad (83)$$

On the other hand, by denoting $M = \begin{bmatrix} -I & \tilde{A} & \tilde{B}_f \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $N = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T$, it can be seen that $Y = M^\perp$ and N is the null space of R. Hence, from Lemma 3.3 we see that (83) and inequality

$$N^T \Phi N < 0 \quad (84)$$

hold, if and only if there exists an $n_z \times n_z$ real matrix $W > 0$ such that

$$\Phi + MWR + (MWR)^T < 0. \quad (85)$$

We can express (85) in the following form

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (86)$$

where

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -Q & P - W \\ * & \bar{w}^2 Q + \tilde{A}^T W + W \tilde{A} + \tilde{C}^T \tilde{C} \\ * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ W \tilde{B}_f + \tilde{C}^T \tilde{D}_f \\ \tilde{D}_f^T \tilde{D}_f - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix}.$$

By letting $X = WL$ and using Schur[34], it follows that (86) is equivalent to (80).

Next, we will derive sufficient conditions ensuring the asymptotic stability of the error system (70) - (71) and the performance (73). By applying Lemma 3.2 and letting $\Pi = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda^2 I \end{bmatrix}$, inequality (73) becomces

$$G_{r\bar{d}}(iw)^* G_{r\bar{d}}(iw) - \lambda^2 I < 0, \ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}.$$

That means performance $||G_{r\bar{d}}||_\infty < \lambda$ is satisfied if and only if there exists a $n_z \times n_z$ real symmetric matrix $W > 0$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B}_d \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & W \\ W & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B}_d \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_d \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^T \Pi \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C} & \tilde{D}_d \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (87)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}^T W + W \tilde{A} & W \tilde{B}_d & \tilde{C}^T \\ * & -\lambda^2 I & \tilde{D}_d^T \\ * & * & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (88)$$

Let $X = WL$, it follows that (88) is equivalent to (81). If (81) holds, then $\tilde{A}^T W + W \tilde{A} < 0$, which implies that system (70)-(71) asymptotically stable. Note that, we can solve the following optimization problem in order to get optimal fault estimation:

$$\min \gamma, \quad s.t. (80), (81). \quad (89)$$

Remark 1. *The results of this paper can be extended to the case where the time delays τ_s $(s = 1, 2, \ldots, q)$ are time varying, that is, $\tau_s(t)$, $\tau_s^- \le \tau_s(t) \le \tau_s^+$ for all $t \ge 0$, where $\tau_s^- > 0$, $\tau_s^+ > 0$. Indeed, we can approximate terms $x_s(t - \tau_s(t))$ by $x_s(t - \tau_s^*)$, where $\tau_s^* = \frac{\tau_s^- + \tau_s^+}{2}$. By this way, systems with time-varying delays can be reduced to systems with time-invariant delays of the form (4)-(6), where $\tau_s(t)$ are replaced by τ_s^* for all $s = 1, 2, \ldots, q$.*

Remark 2. *Let us consider the case where the output vector of system (4)-(6) is delayed, that is, $y(t) = Cx(t) + C_d x(t - \tau) + D_d d(t) + D_f f(t)$. For this case, we introduce an integral output vector $z(t) = \int_0^t y(\theta) d\theta$ such that $\dot{z}(t) = y(t) =$*

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$Cx(t) + C_d x(t-\tau) + D_d d(t) + D_f f(t)$. By denoting $\zeta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} z(t) \\ x(t) \end{bmatrix}$, we obtain an augmented system of the form (4)-(6), where state variable is $\zeta(t)$ and the output is not delayed. By this way, we can extend the results of this paper to systems with delayed outputs.

4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Let us consider the motivated fourth-order example in Section 1. According to Step 1 of the Algorithm 1, we obtain matrices X_5 and Y_5 from equations (38)-(54). Since $\text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} X_5 \\ Y_5 \end{bmatrix} = 34 = \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} X_5 \end{bmatrix}$, condition (55) is satisfied and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_5^1 & \chi_5^2 & \chi_5^3 & \chi_5^4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_5^{11} & \chi_5^{12} & \chi_5^{25} & \chi_5^{26} & \chi_5^3 & \chi_5^4 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_3^1 & \alpha_3^2 & \gamma_3^3 & \gamma_4^3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -0.8333 & -1 & -0.8333 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{32}^1 & \beta_{32}^2 & \beta_{33}^1 & \beta_{33}^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1.3333 & -3 & -3.3333 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^{25} &= \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{41}^1 & \beta_{41}^2 & \beta_{42}^1 & \beta_{42}^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -3 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^{26} &= \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{51}^1 & \beta_{51}^2 & \beta_{52}^1 & \beta_{52}^2 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -1.636 & 5.2082 & 0.5657 & -0.8032 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_4^1 & \alpha_4^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0561 & -2.6835 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\chi_5^4 &= \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{51}^1 & \nu_{51}^2 & \nu_{52}^1 & \nu_{52}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0_{1,4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, in Step 2, by substituting β_{41}^1, β_{41}^2, β_{42}^1, β_{42}^2, β_{51}^1, β_{51}^2, β_{52}^1, β_{52}^2, α_4^1 and α_4^2 into (58)-(59) and obtain matrices Z_5 and T_5. Since $\text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} Z_5 \\ T_5 \end{bmatrix} = 2 = \text{rank}\begin{bmatrix} Z_5 \end{bmatrix}$, condition (64) is satisfied. Hence, we obtain $\zeta_5 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_5^1 & \alpha_5^2 & \gamma_5^3 & \gamma_5^4 & \gamma_5^5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.2007 & -0.6244 & -1.2007 & -0.6244 & 0.0823 \end{bmatrix}$. Then according to Step 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1(t) &= x_1(t), \\
z_2(t) &= x_2(t), \\
z_3(t) &= -0.1667x_2(t) + 2x_1(t-\tau_1) \\
&+ 0.3333x_2(t-\tau_1) - x_3(t-\tau_1) \\
&- 2x_4(t-\tau_1) - x_1(t-\tau_2) - 0.6667x_2(t-\tau_2), \\
z_4(t) &= 2.0561x_1(t) + 3.6835x_2(t) + 6x_1(t-\tau_1) \\
&+ x_2(t-\tau_1) - 3x_3(t-\tau_1) - 3x_4(t-\tau_1) \\
&- x_1(t-\tau_2) - 0.6667x_2(t-\tau_2), \\
z_5(t) &= 2.8281x_1(t) + 2.2518x_2(t) \\
&+ 8.213x_1(t-\tau_1) + 1.3688x_2(t-\tau_1) \\
&- 4.1065x_3(t-\tau_1) - 3.1626x_4(t-\tau_1) \\
&- 4.1065x_1(t-\tau_2) - 2.7377x_2(t-\tau_2).
\end{aligned}$$

And then, a transformed system of the form (21)-(22) is obtained, where $\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathcal{B}_1^2 = \mathcal{B}_2^2 = \mathcal{B}_{d_1}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{d_2}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{f_1}^2 = \mathcal{B}_{f_2}^2 = 0_{5,1}$, $\Gamma_1^3 = \Gamma_2^3 = \Gamma_{12}^{21} = \Gamma_{21}^{21} = 0_{5,2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -0.8333 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.2007 & -0.6244 & 0.0823 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{B} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -0.3333 \\ 9.4230 \\ 7.3317 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B}_1^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -8.3333 \\ -13 \\ -14.019 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{B}_2^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2.3333 \\ -7 \\ -9.5818 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{B}_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ -0.0333 \\ 0.9423 \\ 0.7332 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{B}_{d_1}^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -0.8333 \\ -1.3 \\ -1.4019 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B}_{d_2}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -0.2333 \\ -0.7 \\ -0.9582 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

$$\mathcal{B}_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 \\ 1.2 \\ -0.2 \\ 6.6818 \\ 5.8131 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B}_{f_1}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1.5 \\ -0.3 \\ 0.9109 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{f_2}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1.9 \\ -5.7 \\ -7.8023 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -0.8333 \\ -1.0561 & -2.6835 \\ 1.5467 & 2.7362 \\ -1.2007 & -0.6244 \\ 0.4748 & 1.3382 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_1^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1.3333 \\ -8 & -3 \\ 17.6667 & 11.8333 \\ -1.636 & 5.2082 \\ 15.322 & 10.7619 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_2^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3.3333 \\ 4 & 3 \\ -3.3333 & -2.1667 \\ 0.5657 & -0.8032 \\ -10.4279 & -9.5159 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_1^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1.3333 & -2.6667 \\ 4 & -8 \\ 5.4753 & -10.9506 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_2^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 3.3333 & 3.3333 \\ 10 & 10 \\ 13.6883 & 13.6883 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_{12}^{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -5.6667 & -5.6667 \\ -17 & -17 \\ -23.2701 & -23.2701 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_{21}^{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2.3333 & 2.3333 \\ 7 & 7 \\ 9.5818 & 9.5818 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have the following matrices

$$\overline{I}_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\overline{B}_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.0333 & -0.8333 & -0.2333 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.9423 & -1.3 & -0.7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.7332 & -1.4019 & -0.9582 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\overline{B}_f = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.2 & -1.5 & -1.9 & 0 & 0 \\ 6.6818 & -0.3 & -5.7 & 0 & 0 \\ 5.8131 & 0.9109 & -7.8023 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\overline{D}_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\overline{D}_f = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set $\lambda = 0.5$. Solving the optimization problem (89), we obtain $\gamma_{\min} = 0.7$ and

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 15.1993 & -4.1548 \\ -5.8977 & 21.5871 \\ 53.7296 & -37.7022 \\ -47.5788 & 118.5215 \\ -35.4247 & 102.6286 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 9.5164 & -4.7344 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. CONCLUSION

A novel method has been proposed for computing state transformations of time-delay systems in this paper. The H_∞ fault estimation problem for time-delay systems has been re-formulated as the corresponding problem for linear time invariant systems. A numerical example has been given to demonstrate the obtained results. In the

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507

future, we will extend the results of this paper to address the problem of H_∞ fault estimation for interconnected systems with time-varying delays and unknown inputs.

REFERENCES

1. P.M. Frank, X. Ding. Survey of robust residual generation and evaluation methods in observer-based fault detection systems, *Journal of Process Control*, **1997**, *7*, 403-424.

2. J.J. Gertler. Survey of model-based failure detection and isolation in complex plant, *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, **1998**, *3*, 3-11.

3. J. Chen, R.J. Patton. *Robust Model-Based Fault Diagnosis for Dynamic Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Massachusetts, 1999.

4. M. Fang, Y. Tian, L. Guo. Fault diagnosis of nonlinear system based on generalized observer, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **2007**, *185*, 1131-1137.

5. P.J. Zufiria. A mathematical framework for new fault detection schemes in nonlinear stochastic continuous-time dynamical systems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **2012**, *218*, 11391-11403.

6. J.P. Richard. Time-delay systems: An overview of some recent advances and open problems, *Automatica*, **2003**, *39*, 1667-1694.

7. S.X. Ding. *Model-based fault diagnosis techniques, design schemes, algorithms, and tools*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.

8. B. Jiang, M. Staroswiecki, V. Cocquempot. *Fault identification for a class of time-delay systems*, Proceeding of American Control Conference, Anchorage, Anchorage, AK, 8-10 May, 2002, 2239-2244.

9. C.H. Jiang, D.H. Zhou. Fault detection and identification for uncertain linear time-delay systems, *Computers Chemical Engineering*, **2005**, *30*, 228-242.

10. Z.H. Mao, B. Jiang. Fault estimation and accommodation for networked control systems with transfer delay, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, **2007**, *33*, 738-743.

11. M. Zhong, H. Ye, S.X. Ding. An iterative LMI approach to RFDF for linear systems with time-varying delays, *Asian Journal of Control*, **2006**, *8*, 86-90.

12. W. Chen, M. Saif. An iterative learning observer for fault detection and accommodation in nonlinear time-delay systems, *International Journal of Robust Nonlinear Control*, **2006**, *16*, 1-19.

13. L.S. Bai, Z.H. Tian, S.J. Shi. Robust fault detection for a class of nonlinear time-delay systems, *Journal of The Franklin Institute*, **2007**, *334*, 837-888.

14. Z.W. Gao, T. Breikin, H. Wang. Reliable observer-based control against sensor failures for systems with time delays in both state and input, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A*, **2008**, *38*, 1018-1029.

15. N. Meskin, K. Khorasani. Robust fault detection and isolation of time-delay systems using a geometric approach, *Automatica*, **2009**, *45*, 1567-1537.

16. M.Y. Zhong, Q.L. Han. Fault-tolerant master-slave synchronization for Lur'e systems using time-delay feedback control, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, **2009**, *56*, 1391-1404.

17. D.C. Huong, H. Trinh, H.M. Tran, T. Fernando. Approach to fault detection of time-delay systems using functional observers, *Electronics Letters*, **2014**, *50*, 1132-1134.

18. G.H. Yang, J.L. Wang, Y.C. Soh. Reliable H_∞ controller design for linear systems, *Automatica*, **2001**, *37*, 717-725.

19. B. Jiang, F.N. Chowdhury. Fault estimation and accommodation for linear MIMO discrete-time systems, *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, **2005**, *13*, 493-499.

20. M. Misra, H.H. Yue, S.J. Qin. Multivariate process monitoring and fault diagnosis by multi-scale PCA, *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, **2002**, *26*, 1281-1293.

21. H. Wang, L. Shen. Fault detection and estimation for nonlinear systems with linear output structure, *International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing*, **2004**, *19*, 267-279.

22. T. Iwasaki, S. Hara. Generalized KYP Lemma: unified frequency domain inequalities with design applications, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **2005**, *50*, 41-59.

23. H. Wang, G.H. Yang. A finite frequency domain approach to fault detection for linear discrete-time systems, *International Journal of Control*, **2008**, *81*, 1162-1171.

24. X.J. Li, G.H. Yang. Fault detection observer design in low frequency domain for linear time-delay systems, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, **2009**, *35*, 1465-1469.

25. H. Gao, X. Li. H_∞ filtering for discrete-time state-delayed systems with finite frequency specifications, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **2011**, *56*, 2935-2941.

26. J. Shen, J. Lam. Improved results on H_∞ model reduction for continuous-time linear systems over finite frequency ranges, *Automatica*, **2015**, *53*, 79-84.

27. Y. He, Q.G. Wang, C. Lin. An improved H_∞ filter design for systems with time-varying interval delay, *IEEE Transaction on circuits and systems-II: Express brieffs*, **2006**, *53*, 1235-1239.

28. X. Li, Z. Li, H. Gao. Further results on H_∞ filtering for discrete-time systems with state delay, *International Journal Robust and Nonlinear Control*, **2011**, *21*, 248-270.

29. X. Li, H. Gao. A new model transformation of discrete-time systems with time-varying delay and its application to stability analysis, *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, **2011**, *56*, 2172-2178.

30. M. Hou, P. Zitek, R.I. Patton. An observer design for linear time-delay systems, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **2002**, *47*, 121-125.

31. Q.C. Dong, M. Zhong, S.X. Ding. H_∞ fault estimation for a class of linear time-delay systems in finite frequency domain, *Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics*, **2010**, *21*, 835-841.

32. D.C. Huong, H. Trinh. Method for computing state transformations of time-delay systems, *IET Control Theory & Applications*, **2015**, *9*, 2405-2413.

33. D.T.H. Yen, D.C. Huong. A new method for designing observers of a nonlinear time-delay Glucose-Insulin system, *Quynhon Journal of Science*, **2020**, *14*(1), 37-46.

34. S. Boyd, L.E. Ghaoui, V. Balakrishnan. *Linear Matrix Inequalities in Systems and Control Theory*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1994.

https://doi.org/10.52111/qnjs.2021.15507